Department of Commerce - 160

Lead Administrator: Deby Snodgrass

Lead Financial Officer: Stacie Willis

| FY'16 Projected Division/Program Funding By Source | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------|--------------|
| | Appropriations | Federal | Revolving | Local | Other* | Total |
| Community Development | \$806,703 | \$2,016,671 | \$0 | | | \$2,823,374 |
| Business Services | \$2,217,388 | | \$324,077 | | | \$2,541,465 |
| Contracts and Comm. & Economic Dev. | \$7,426,744 | \$36,549,915 | \$7,618,629 | | | \$51,595,288 |
| Operational Support | \$4,636,110 | | \$4,508,208 | | | \$9,144,318 |
| Main Street Program | \$625,878 | | \$7,791 | | | \$633,669 |
| REAP | | | \$10,884,894 | | | \$10,884,894 |
| NACEA | \$6,626,584 | | | | | \$6,626,584 |
| ISD Data Processing | \$436,196 | | \$142,353 | | | \$578,549 |
| | | | | | | |
| Total | \$22,775,603 | \$38,566,586 | \$23,485,952 | \$0 | \$0 | \$84,828,141 |

^{*}Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

FY-16 BWP as of Revision 1. Revolving includes state appropriated carryover and indirect

| FY'15 Carryover by Funding Source | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------|-------------|-------|--------|--------------|--|
| | Appropriations | Federal | Revolving | Local | Other* | Total | |
| FY'15 Carryover | \$4,085,364 | \$0 | \$8,092,850 | | | \$12,178,214 | |
| *Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for | or each | | | | | | |

What Changes did the Agency Make between FY'15 and FY'16?

- 1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts? No
- 2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user? N/A
- 3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate? None
- 4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required? If so, please provide a detailed description in a separate document.

Please see attached document.

| FY'17 Requested Division/Program Funding By Source | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|----------|--|
| | Appropriations | Federal | Revolving | Other | Total | % Change | |
| Community Development | \$806,703 | \$2,016,671 | | | \$2,823,374 | 0.00% | |
| Business Services | \$2,217,388 | | \$324,077 | | \$2,541,465 | 0.00% | |
| Contracts and Comm. & Economic Dev. | \$7,426,744 | \$36,549,915 | \$7,576,129 | | \$51,552,788 | -0.08% | |
| Operational Support | \$4,636,110 | | \$1,300,190 | | \$5,936,300 | -35.08% | |
| Main Street Program | \$625,878 | | \$7,791 | | \$633,669 | 0.00% | |
| REAP | | | \$10,884,894 | | \$10,884,894 | 0.00% | |
| NACEA | \$6,626,584 | | | | \$6,626,584 | 0.00% | |
| ISD Data Processing | \$436,196 | | \$142,353 | | \$578,549 | 0.00% | |
| Total | \$22,775,603 | \$38,566,586 | \$20,235,434 | \$0 | \$81,577,623 | -3.83% | |

*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

| FY'17 Top Five Appropriation Funding Requests | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | \$ Amount | | | | |
| Request 1: Commerce is requesting a flat budget | | | | | |
| Request 2: Description | | | | | |
| Request 3: Description | | | | | |
| Request 4: Description | | | | | |
| Request 5: Description | | | | | |
| Total Increase above FY-17 Request | 0 | | | | |

How would the agency handle a 5% appropriation reduction in FY'17?

The agency has already cut \$6,698,231 (29.32%) over the past 6 years. We have cut most memberships and all sponsorships from the budget. With additional cuts, it starts to affect the core mission of the agency which serves as Oklahoma's primary economic development entity. Cuts will be made to the divisions that directly work with Oklahoma businesses and communities. Cuts to travel affect the number of trips to recruit out of state businesses and bringing new investment to the state. Cuts will also be made to travel for work with existing Oklahoma businesses and communities.

How would the agency handle a 7.5% appropriation reduction in FY'17?

budget; as well as additional cuts to operational budgets.

How would the agency handle a 10% appropriation reduction in FY'17?

Unless the agency's revolving funds remain secure so they can be used to address the reduction in appropriated monies, a 10 percent cut in appropriated funds would likely result in further staff reductions.

| | Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY'16? | | | | | |
|------------|--|--|-----------|--|--|--|
| | | | \$ Amount | | | |
| Increase 1 | N/A | | \$0 | | | |
| Increase 2 | N/A | | \$0 | | | |
| Increase 3 | N/A | | \$0 | | | |

What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable?

Federal Government Impact

1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?

The majority federal funds consist of formula money directly allocated to the State of Oklahoma. Only a small portion are grants that the agency proactively applied for and received.

2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?

Yes. Each of the Federal programs has an administrative percentage allowance for staff, as well as staff charges to the indirect rate. However, state appropriated funds are required to be used to supplement several of the programs since the allowable administrative percentage is not always sufficient to cover all salary and fringe costs.

3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?

It would essentially eliminate the majority of Commerce's Community Development Division. There would additionally be statewide community impacts.

4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?

Presently, Commerce will continue to draw on older money so the impact will not be severe unless a Federal shutdown or cut occurs over a long period of time because all draw processes stay functional during the shutdown. At this time, significant cuts are not expected to be applicable to current awards.

5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

Not at this time.

Division and Program Descriptions

Community Development Services

1) Community Development fosters economic development by helping communities understand and implement infrastructure projects and comprehensive planning in order to maximize their resources.

Business Services

The purpose of this program is threefold: to provide for the creation and retention of jobs, to recruit business investment to Oklahoma, and to contribute to economic development through export trade and the attraction of foreign investment.

Contracts for Community and Economic Development

Contracts for Community and Economic Development are contracts, which include ones that the Oklahoma Department of Commerce has been statutorily mandated to administer via annual appropriations.

Operational Support

These services include Executive Leadership, Finance, Human Resources, Communications, Purchasing, General Counsel, and Research and Economic Analysis, which all provide the tools and support services necessary for the agency to operate.

Main Street Program

The Main Street Program provides specific services and training to participating towns or neighborhoods as they begin the process of revitalizing their districts. It also offers education to non-Main Street communities on the benefits of historic preservation and community commercial development.

NACEA

To promote the history and culture of the Native Americans for the mutual benefit of the State of Oklahoma and its Indian and non-Indian citizens.

| FY'17 Budgeted FTE | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|----|--|----|---|--|
| | Supervisors Classified Unclassified \$0 - \$35 K \$35 K - \$70 K \$70 K - \$ | | | | | | |
| Community Development | 3 | | 27 | | 26 | 1 | |

| Business Services | 3 | | 23 | | 20 | 3 |
|---|----|---|-----|---|----|---------------|
| Contracts for Community & Economic Dev. | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Operational Support | 14 | | 42 | 3 | 32 | 7 |
| Main Street Program | 1 | | 6 | | 5 | 1 |
| NACEA | 3 | | 9 | | 7 | 2 |
| | | | | | | |
| Total | 24 | 0 | 107 | 3 | 90 | 14 |
| | | | | | | SALARIES ONLY |

FTE History
2016 Budgeted Community Development 0 0 Business Services Contracts for Community & Economic Dev. 6 Operational Support Main Street Program NACEA Total

| Performance Measure Review | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| | FY'15 | FY'14 | FY'13 | FY'12 | FY'11 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Increase direct new jobs, new capital investment, and average | | | | | | |
| annual wage of new jobs year over year to grow and diversify the | | | | | | |
| Oklahoma economy. | | | | | | |
| New Jobs | 7136 | 7859 | 7,473 | 9,640 | 5,339 | |
| New Investment* | \$2,185,397,775 | \$1,481,843,108 | \$3,338,893,261 | \$1,059,118,000 | \$861,842,000 | |
| Private Investment in Main Street | \$55,388,731 | \$323,433,939 | \$115,511,064 | \$84,060,000 | \$35,630,000 | |
| Average Wage of New Jobs | \$53,959 | \$52,229 | \$68,241 | N/A | N/A | |
| Workforce Training | NR | NR | 14,432 | 24,494 | 14,450 | |
| Community Improvement Projects | 108 | 214 | 241 | 261 | 404 | |

* In FY11-13, New Investment was reported for Business Development only; in FY14-15, it was reported for the agency as a whole.

| Re | evolving Funds (200 Series Funds) | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | FY'13-15 Avg. Revenues | FY'13-15 Avg. Expenditures | June '15 Balance |
| OK Department of Commerce Revolving Fund - 205 | | | |
| Continuing fund and subject to the administrative direction | | | |
| of the Oklahoma Department of Commerce. | \$670,886 | \$562,270 | \$2,912,742.87 |
| 90% of revenue is reimbursement of grant expenditures previously | | | |
| disbursed from Fund 205. The grant ends September 2017. | | | |
| Nov 2015 Per Legislation transferred 1.6 million from Fund 205 to 255. | | | |
| Native American Cultural & Educ. Revolving Fund- 206 | | | |
| Continuing fund for the Native American Cultural | \$0 | \$11,920 | \$877,760.91 |
| and Educational Authority. | | | |
| Energy Conservation Assistance Revolving Fund - 210 | | | |
| Funds are to provide energy conservation grants to | \$0 | \$0 | \$523.94 |
| qualifying low income homeowners. | | | |
| Community Development Center Revolving Fund - 216 | | | |
| Funds are for the purpose of the Community Development | \$0 | \$0 | \$34,213.90 |
| Centers, with emphasis on aging and elderly citizen | | | |
| interests pursuant to law. | | | |
| Rural Economic Action Plan Revolving Fund - 225 | | | |
| Funds are for the purpose of economic development in | \$11,316,611 | \$11,316,611 | \$639,497.18 |
| rural areas with populations of less than 7,000. | | | |
| OK Viticulture and Enology Revolving Fund - 245 | | | |
| Funds are to be expended for the development of the | \$466,667 | \$350,106 | \$355,230.40 |
| viticulture and enology industries in the state. | | | |
| Nov 2015 per Legislation transferred to Department of Agriculture. | | | |
| Quick Action Closing Revolving Fund - 255 | | | |
| Funds are to be expended by the governor | | | |
| for economic development and related infrastructure | | | |
| development in instances in which expenditure of such | \$3,241,683 | \$1,000,000 | \$6,725,049.00 |
| funds would likely be a determining factor in locating a | | | |
| high-impact business project or facility in Oklahoma | | | |
| or in retaining such a project or facility within the state. | | | |
| Nov 2015 per Legislation transferred 1.6 million from Fund 205 to 255. | | | |