

District Attorneys Council

Lead Administrator: Suzanne McClain Atwood

Lead Financial Officer: Timothy B. Webster

FY'14 Budgeted FTE						
	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$\$\$
Prosecutorial Services	26	0	696.5	269.7	291.2	135.6
General Administration	1	0	10.5	1.6	6.1	2.8
Child Support Services	0	0	150.3	119.2	24.5	6.6
Bogus Check Enforce/Restitute	1	0	126.6	72.5	46.8	7.3
Federal Grant Programs	0	0	85.2	30.5	50.6	4.1
Drug Asset Forfeiture	0	0	29.7	6.5	19.6	3.6
Federal Pass-Through Grants	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crime Victim Services	0	0	17.5	10.8	5.5	1.2
Information Technology	0	0	8	1	7	0
Total	28	0	1124.3	511.8	451.3	161.2

FTE History					
	2013 Budgeted	2012	2009	2008	2003
Prosecutorial Services	696.5	655.6	659	632	491.6
General Administration	10.5	17.2	19	18	16.5
Child Support Services	150.3	142.4	155	159	231.4
Bogus Check Enforce/Restitute	126.6	153.6	192	194	249.2
Federal Grant Programs	85.2	97.4	60	86	119.8
Drug Asset Forfeiture	29.7	24.3	36	39	32.7
Federal Pass-Through Grants	0.0	0.5	2	0	0.0
Crime Victim Services	17.5	15.9	13	11	8.4
Information Technology	8.0	0.0	0	0	0.0
Total	1124.3	1106.9	1135.9	1140.2	1149.6

FY'13 Projected Division/Program Funding By Source						
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total
Prosecutorial Services	\$32,450,000	\$0	\$23,729,000	\$0	\$0	\$56,179,000
General Administration	\$956,000	\$0	\$153,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,109,000
Child Support Services	\$0	\$0	\$8,333,000	\$0	\$0	\$8,333,000
Bogus Check Enforce/Restitute	\$0	\$0	\$8,051,000	\$0	\$0	\$8,051,000
Federal Grant Programs	\$0	\$4,052,000	\$2,215,000	\$0	\$0	\$6,267,000
Drug Asset Forfeiture	\$0	\$0	\$2,320,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,320,000
Federal Pass-Through Grants	\$0	\$13,425,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,425,000
Crime Victim Services	\$0	\$200,000	\$8,260,000	\$0	\$0	\$8,460,000
Information Technology	\$290,000	\$0	\$1,466,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,756,000
Total	\$33,696,000	\$17,677,000	\$54,527,000	\$0	\$0	\$105,900,000

*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

FY'12 Carryover by Funding Source						
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total
FY'13 Carryover	\$273,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$273,000

*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

What Changes did the Agency Make between FY'12 and FY'13	
1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?	<i>The district attorneys of Oklahoma have carefully reviewed programs to determine if any savings are possible. Through careful management and dedicated employees, along with the use of non-appropriated funds to offset the loss of appropriated funds, we have not cut services.</i>
2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?	<i>Due to legislative enactment, supervision fees were increased from \$20 per month to \$40 per month on July 1, 2008. There have been no increases in fees since that enactment.</i>
3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?	<i>The district attorneys have not filled positions, have furloughed in one district, and offered voluntary buyouts. For some districts, this has resulted in slower response times for certain non-critical services.</i>

FY'14 Requested Division/Program Funding By Source						
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other	Total	% Change
Prosecutorial Services	\$39,107,000	\$0	\$23,729,000	\$0	\$62,836,000	11.85%
General Administration	\$1,035,000	\$0	\$153,000	\$0	\$1,188,000	7.12%
Child Support Services	\$0	\$0	\$8,333,000	\$0	\$8,333,000	0.00%
Bogus Check Enforce/Restitute	\$0	\$0	\$8,051,000	\$0	\$8,051,000	0.00%
Federal Grant Programs	\$0	\$4,052,000	\$2,215,000	\$0	\$6,267,000	0.00%
Drug Asset Forfeiture	\$0	\$0	\$2,320,000	\$0	\$2,320,000	0.00%
Federal Pass-Through Grants	\$0	\$13,425,000	\$0	\$0	\$13,425,000	0.00%
Crime Victim Services	\$0	\$200,000	\$8,260,000	\$0	\$8,460,000	0.00%
Information Technology	\$290,000	\$0	\$1,466,000	\$0	\$1,756,000	0.00%
Total	\$40,432,000	\$17,677,000	\$54,527,000	\$0	\$112,636,000	6.36%

*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

FY'14 Top Five Appropriation Funding Requests	
	\$ Amount
General Revenue Reduction FY210-FY212	\$6,736,000
	\$0
	\$0
	\$0
	\$0

How would the agency handle a 3% appropriation reduction in FY'14?

An additional reduction of 5% will impact services as some if not all of the district attorneys are struggling to provide basic services due to the previous reductions. Districts have already had to furlough employees in some areas. All are utilizing non-appropriated funding sources to replace the loss of appropriated funds, but in many instances, these funds are being depleted by the current ongoing reductions that have occurred since FY09. An additional 5% reduction may require additional districts to furlough or contemplate employee layoffs.

How would the agency handle a 5% appropriation reduction in FY'14?

An additional reduction of 5% will impact services as some if not all of the district attorneys are struggling to provide basic services due to the previous reductions. Districts have already had to furlough employees in some areas. All are utilizing non-appropriated funding sources to replace the loss of appropriated funds, but in many instances, these funds are being depleted by the current ongoing reductions that have occurred since FY09. An additional 5% reduction may require additional districts to furlough or contemplate employee layoffs.

Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY'14? No	
	\$ Amount
None	\$0

Federal Government Impact

1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?
All federal funding received by the District Attorneys Council is tied to strict grant guidelines established by the federal government. Inherent in the grant programs are rules which guide the use of the federal funds but there are no mandates prior to applying for and accepting federal grant funds. There is not a "mandate" per se which is required if federal funds are not available.

2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?
Funds are adequate to pay for the mandates that are in place once the award is granted.

3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?
Due to many types of grants administered by the agency, public safety would be significantly and negatively impacted. In addition, the District Attorneys Council would not be able to maintain the same level of services to crime victims. Many of the victims service agencies currently funded with federal funds would have to close or try to find resources in the community or through the legislature to comply with state mandates for victims services.

Federal Government Impact cont....

4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?

The Budget Control Act of 2011 requires major defense and non-defense funding sequestration to achieve certain budget levels beginning in January 2013. Sequestration is a specific process that is different from the usual across-the-board cuts or "rescissions." While it appears that the unobligated balance in the federal Crime Victims Fund is exempt from sequestration, the annual federal VOCA spending will be subject to sequestration, resulting in an estimated 8% cut to VOCA Assistance programs. This cut will likely have an impact on District Attorney Victim-Witness Services, as well as non-profit agencies in Oklahoma funded through this grant.

As fewer criminal justice projects will be implemented, the impact will be significant on the other grant programs such as the Violence Against Women Act grants, Project Safe Neighborhood grants, etc. The impact on public safety will be significant and will have a negative impact on many of the state and local law enforcement agencies.

5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

No. All grants are formula grants or discretionary grants. There is no provision in the federal guidelines to request earmarks or increases. In the event of a mass casualty incident, such as the bombing of the Murrah building, supplemental awards are possible.

Division and Program Descriptions

Prosecutorial Services

Consists of DA's, ADAs, and support staff in the general operation of the offices.

General Administration

DAC providing administrative services to all DA and their staffs, including payroll, benefits, travel, budgeting, accounting services, and training.

Child Support Services

Inter-agency agreements with DHS/OCSS to provide child support enforcement services.

Bogus Check Enforce/Restitute

Diversionsary program to keep bogus check offenders out of the court system, while making restitution to victims. Also, utilized to subsidize general operations of the office.

Federal Grant Programs

JAG, VOCA, VAWA, PSN, and miscellaneous federal grant programs.

Drug Asset Forfeiture

Funds are derived from seizing assets used in illegal drug activity.

Federal Pass-Through Grants

DAC is the state administering agency for JAG, VOCA, VAWA, and RSAT

Crime Victim Services

DAC is the administrative home of the Victims Comp Board. The Board administers two federal grants and receives court ordered assessments from violent offenders to assist victims.

Performance Measure Review					
	FY12	FY'11	FY'10	FY'09	FY'08
Prosecutorial Services					
Number of county drug courts	46	46	53	48	48
Bogus Check Enforce/Restitute					
Bogus Check Revenue	\$ 8,153,000.00	\$ 9,807,000.00	\$ 12,259,000.00	\$ 13,703,703.00	\$ 14,145,772.00
Probation Supervision Revenue	\$ 1,530,908.00	\$ 13,854,681.00	\$ 10,911,821.00	\$ 6,949,494.00	\$ 4,392,144.00
Drug Asset Forfeiture					
Drug Asset Forfeiture Revenue	\$ 2,311,000.00	\$ 2,677,000.00	\$ 2,816,000.00	\$ 5,621,502.00	\$ 7,921,330.00
Drug Asset Forfeiture Prosecutors/Investigators devoted to drug enforcement	24.3	28.7	37.9	36.3	41.8
Crime Victim Services					
Number of victims compensation program claims filed	1,524	1,510	1,658	1,691	1,552
Crime Victim Services					
Number of months to process claim	6.50	6.70			