FY 2026 Budget Performance Review 67700 Supreme Court

VersionDate submitted1/21/2025Lead Administrator: Diana O'NealLead Financial Officer: Diana O'Neal

Agency Mission

Division and Program Descriptions

Note: Please define any acronyms used in program descriptions.

Administrative Office of the Courts

The Administrative Director and staff assist the Chief Justice in the administrative duties of the Oklahoma judicial system, including accounting, payroll, training, data systems, research and other responsibilities. The Administrative Office of the Court also provides leadership and administrative support for various judicial boards and commissions.

Justices and Staff

The Supreme Court makes final determination of issues of a civil nature. The Supreme Court has administrative responsibility for the entire Oklahoma judicial system. Support staff is responsible for handling Justice's calendars, preparing dockets for conferences and circulating proposed opinions and orders. Staff attorneys aid the Justices in research and drafting proposed opinions.

Appellate Court Clerk

As the Court's record-keeper, the Clerk maintains official hearing records, operates recording and timing equipment and ensures that proper courtroom procedures are preserved.

The Clerk's office maintains operational contact with the parties and attorneys for all cases and is the repository for all filings made in connection with any case. The Clerk also serves as the Clerk of the Court of Criminal Appeals, the Court of Civil Appeals, the Court of the Judiciary and the Court of Tax Review.

Court of Civil Appeals

Responsible for the appellate decisions regarding civil matters as assigned by the Supreme Court, with offices in Oklahoma City and Tulsa.

Alternative Dispute Resolution

Administers the Dispute Resolution Act providing convenient access to conflict resolution (mediation) services to Oklahoma citizens using certified volunteer mediators. It also promulgates rles and establishes jurisdictional guidelines for mediation programs.

Management Information Services

Responsible for the Oklahoma Supreme Court Network (OSCN), designed to provide the public and the Bar with access to the public legal documents of the State of Oklahoma. Media, business and private entities all benefit from the information provided by OSCN at no cost. OSCN provides access to thousands of published Oklahoma appellate cases, statutes, Attorney General's opinions, certiorari dispositions, court rules, forms, and many other documents.

Family Representation and Advocacy Program

Responsible to ensure uniform and high quality legal representation for children and indigent parents, legal guardians, and Indian custodians in deprived child actions brought by the s

| | FY'25 Budgeted Department Funding By Source | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Dept.# | Department Name | Appropriations | Federal | Revolving | Local ¹ | Other ² | Total | | | | |
| 0100001 | Justices and Staff | \$7,314,315 | | \$150,000 | | | \$7,464,315 | | | | |
| 0200001 | Administrative Office of the Courts | \$2,115,850 | \$739,861 | \$5,447,371 | | | \$8,303,082 | | | | |
| 3000001 | Court of Civil Appeals - OKC | \$3,564,145 | | | | | \$3,564,145 | | | | |
| 3000002 | Court of Civil Appeals - Tulsa | \$3,748,523 | | | | | \$3,748,523 | | | | |
| 4000001 | Alternative Dispute Resolution | \$0 | | \$1,526,470 | | | \$1,526,470 | | | | |
| 6000001 | Family Representation and Advocacy Program | \$10,500,000 | | | | | \$10,500,000 | | | | |
| 8000001 | Appellate Court Clerk | \$1,015,398 | | \$121,300 | | | \$1,136,698 | | | | |
| 8800002 | Management Information Services | \$2,015,811 | | \$16,895,583 | | | \$18,911,394 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | \$0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | \$0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | \$0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | \$0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | \$0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | \$0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | \$0 | | | | |
| Total | | \$30,274,042 | \$739,861 | \$24,140,724 | \$0 | \$0 | \$55,154,627 | | | | |

^{1.} Please describe source of Local funding not included in other categories:

^{2.} Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicable for each department:

FY 2026 Budget Performance Review 67700 Supreme Court

Original Date submitted 1/21/2025 Version Lead Financial Officer: Diana O'Neal Lead Administrator: Diana O'Neal

| | Balances of Appropriated Funds from Prior Fiscal Years | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 3-digit Class Fund # | Class Fund Name | GA Bill # and Section # | Fiscal Year of Original Appropriation | Original Appropriation Amount (\$) | Total Expended Amount as of 8/31/2024 (\$) | Balance as of 8/31/2024 (\$) | | | | |
| 19301 | FY23 Appropriations for Duties | | FY23 | \$16,572,582 | \$16,354,833 | \$217,749 | | | | |
| 19401 | FY24 Appropriations for Duties | | FY24 | \$17,052,426 | \$16,139,266 | \$913,160 | | | | |
| | | | | | | \$0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | \$0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | \$0 | | | | |
| Total remaining prior year appropriation balance: | | | | | | | | | | |

Report appropriations that have existing balances from all prior fiscal years at the 3-digit class fund number (i.e. 193, 194). Do not report carryover class funds seperately. Include appropriations located in disbursing funds. Report PREP, but not ARPA/SRF, appropriations.

What changes did the agency make between FY'24 and FY'25?

- 1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?
- 2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?
- 3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?
- 4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required?

After receiving a portion of appropriated funds requested, some raises were placed in effect as of July 1, 2024.

| Appropriation Increase Review | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | • • | propriation Increase Of Agency Base Appr | | Expenditures | | | | | | |
| Appropriation Increase Purpose | FY 2023 | FY 2024 | Total Amount Received FY 2023-2024 | Total Expenditure of Increase as of 6/30/2024 | If funds have not been spent, please explain why. | | | | | |
| Staff salary increases SB907 Family Representation and Advocacy Program Proposed Judicial salary increase | \$350,290 | \$480,476 \$4,600,000 | | \$480,476 \$175,594 \$350,290 | Program was in beginning stage. | | | | | |
| Total: | \$350,290 | \$5,080,476 | \$5,430,766 | \$1,006,360 | | | | | | |
| List appropriation increases that the agency has received in the pri | ior two years. List o | amounts received in | each year. Include | PREP, but not ARPA, | /SRF. appropriations. | | | | | |

| | FY'26 Requested Funding By Department and Source | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|--------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Dept. # | Department Name | Appropriations | Federal | Revolving | Other ¹ | Total | % Change | | | | |
| 0100001 | Justices and Staff | \$8,662,521 | \$0 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$8,812,521 | 18.06% | | | | |
| 0200001 | Administrative Office of the Courts | \$1,809,479 | \$739,861 | \$6,123,221 | \$0 | \$8,672,561 | 4.45% | | | | |
| 3000001 | Court of Civil Appeals - OKC | \$4,049,986 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,049,986 | 13.63% | | | | |
| 3000002 | Court of Civil Appeals - Tulsa | \$4,229,910 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,229,910 | 12.84% | | | | |
| 4000001 | Alternative Dispute Resolution | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,526,470 | \$0 | \$1,526,470 | 0.00% | | | | |
| 6000001 | Family Representation and Advocacy Program | \$10,500,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,500,000 | 0.00% | | | | |
| 8000001 | Appellate Court Clerk | \$1,043,338 | \$0 | \$121,300 | \$0 | \$1,164,638 | 2.46% | | | | |
| 8800002 | Management Information Services | \$2,419,137 | \$0 | \$16,219,733 | \$0 | \$18,638,870 | -1.44% | | | | |
| Total | | \$32,714,371 | \$739,861 | \$24,140,724 | \$0 | \$57,594,956 | 4.42% | | | | |
| 1. Please des | scribe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding fo | r each department: | | | • | | | | | | |

FY 2026 Budget Performance Review 67700 Supreme Court

VersionDate submitted1/21/2025Lead Administrator: Diana O'NealLead Financial Officer: Diana O'Neal

| | FY'26 Top Five Operational Appropriated Funding Increase Requests | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Request by Priority | Request Description | Is this a Supplemental | Timeframe (One-Time or | Appropriation Request Increase | | | | | | |
| Thomey | | Request? (Yes/No) | Recurring) | Amount (\$) | | | | | | |
| Request 1: | Judicial Salary Increase | | Recurring | \$835,006 | | | | | | |
| Request 2: | Staff attorney increases and new positions | | Recurring | \$1,697,847 | | | | | | |
| Request 3: | MIS and Court Reporter technology needs | | One-Time | \$1,743,287 | | | | | | |
| Request 4: | NCSC dues | | Recurring | \$180,000 | | | | | | |
| Request 5: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Top Five Request Su | btotal: | \$4,456,140 | | | | | | |
| Total Increase | e above FY-25 Budget (including all requests) | | | \$2,440,329 | | | | | | |
| Difference be | tween Top Five requests and total requests: | | | -\$2,015,811 | | | | | | |

| What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable? | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Needed State | Submitted to | | | | | | |
| Description of requested increase in order of priority | | LRCPC or OCAMP? | | | | | | |
| | Project (\$) | (Yes/No) | | | | | | |
| Priority 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Priority 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Priority 3 | | | | | | | | |

| List any requests for new construction from the Legacy Capital Fund | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Description of requested increase in order of priority | Needed State Funding for Project (\$) | Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) |
| Priority 1 | | |
| Priority 2 Priority 3 | | |

Does the agency have any costs associated with the Pathfinder retirement system and federal employees?

How would the agency be affected by receiving the same appropriation for FY '26 as was received in FY '25? (Flat/ 0% change)

The legislatively enacted Family Representation and Advocacy Program (FRAP) would not be able to move into the final three regions of the state. The Court's MIS program may have to delay projects to ensure critical operations are maintained. Staff attorneys for Justices and Judges will continue to leave for significantly higher pay in other state agencies.

How would the agency handle a 2% appropriation reduction in FY '26?

The Supreme Court would delay filling vacant positions and may need to temporarily reduce staff. The FRAP program would be negatively impacted as it could not continue implementation in all counties across the state.

| Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY '26? | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description of requested increase in order of priority | | Statutory change | | | | | | | |
| | | required? (Yes/No) | | | | | | | |
| Increase 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Increase 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Increase 3 | | | | | | | | | |

| | Federal Funds | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| CFDA | Federal Program Name | Agency Dept. # | FY 25 budget (\$) | FY 24 actuals (\$) | FY 23 actuals (\$) | FY 22 actuals (\$) | FY 24 budgeted FTE (#) | | | |
| 93586 | Oklahoma Court Improvement Program | 0200005 | 739,861 | 530,385 | 645,087 | 538,793 | 3 | | | |

FY 2026 Budget Performance Review 67700 Supreme Court

Original Date submitted 1/21/2025 Version Lead Financial Officer: Diana O'Neal Lead Administrator: Diana O'Neal

Federal Government Impact

1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?

None

2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?

3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?

Oklahoma would be the only state without a Court Improvement Program which provides safety, well-being and permanence of children in the foster care system by training judges and attorneys handling juvenile deprived cases.

4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?

Only the Court Improvement Program has the potential to be impacted.

5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

No

| | FY 2025 Budgeted FTE | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Division # | Division Name | Supervisors | Non-Supervisors | \$0 - \$35 K | \$35 K - \$70 K | \$70 K - \$100K | \$100K+ | | | |
| 0100001 | Justices and Staff | 9 | 32 | | 7 | 4 | 30 | | | |
| 0200001 | Administrative Office of the Courts | 6 | 22 | | 10 | 12 | 5 | | | |
| 3000001 | Court of Civil Appeals - OKC | 6 | 15 | | 3 | 1 | 17 | | | |
| 3000002 | Court of Civil Appeals - Tulsa | 6 | 15 | | 3 | 1 | 17 | | | |
| 4000001 | Alternative Dispute Resolution | 1 | 0 | | | 1 | | | | |
| 8000001 | Appellate Court Clerk | 1 | 9 | | 9 | | 1 | | | |
| 8800002 | Management Information Services | 11 | 64 | | 26 | 44 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | 40 | 157 | 0 | 58 | 63 | 75 | | | |

| | | FTE H | istory by Fiscal Yea | ır | | | |
|------------|---------------|------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Division # | Division Name | FY 2025 Budgeted | FY 2025 YTD | FY 2024 | FY 2023 | FY 2022 | FY 2016 |
| All FTE | | 197.0 | 183.0 | 185.0 | 179.2 | 176.9 | 171.6 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total | | 197.0 | 183.0 | 185.0 | 179.2 | 176.9 | 171.6 |

| Performance Measure Review | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | FY 2024 | FY 2023 | FY 2022 | FY 2021 | FY 2020 | | | | |
| Program Name | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

FY 2026 Budget Performance Review 67700 Supreme Court

VersionOriginalDate submitted1/21/2025Lead Administrator: Diana O'NealLead Financial Officer: Diana O'Neal

| Revolvin | Revolving Funds (200 Series Funds) | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | FY'22-24 Avg. Revenues | FY'22-24 Avg. Expenditures | June '24 Balance | | | | | |
| 200 | | | | | | | | |
| Information Systems Revolving Fund | \$11,466,136 | \$11,678,604 | \$6,314,749 | | | | | |
| 205 | | | | | | | | |
| Supreme Court Revolving Fund | \$316,942 | \$171,539 | \$758,955 | | | | | |
| 210 | | | | | | | | |
| Supreme Court Administrative Revolving Fund | \$49,775 | \$87,523 | \$2,275,633 | | | | | |
| 215 | | | | | | | | |
| Law Library Revolving Fund | \$1,517,277 | \$1,222,116 | \$3,170,684 | | | | | |
| 220 | | | | | | | | |
| Dispute Resolution Revolving Fund | \$1,343,142 | \$1,318,466 | \$339,165 | | | | | |
| 230 | | | | | | | | |
| State Judicial Revolving Fund | \$28,393,002 | \$22,509,668 | \$11,617,315 | | | | | |
| 240 | | | | | | | | |
| Judicial Center Revolving Fund | \$2,723 | \$1,502 | \$11,630 | | | | | |
| 250 | | | | | | | | |
| Family Representation and Advocacy Program | \$1,533,333 | \$58,531 | \$4,424,406 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 7 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| FY 2025 Current Employee Telework Summary | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| List each agency physical location (not division), then report the number | of employees associa | ted with that location | | | | | | |
| in the teleworking categories indicated. Use "No specified location" to account for remote employees not | | | Full-time and Part-time Employees (#) | | | | | |
| associated with a site. Use actual current employees (headcount), not budgeted or actual FTE. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Onsite | Hybrid | Remote | | | |
| Agency Location / Address | City | County | (5 days onsite, | (2-4 days onsite | (1 day or less | Total Employees | | |
| | | | rarely remote) | weekly) | weekly onsite) | | | |
| | | | | | | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | 0 | | |
| | | | | Total Agency Employees 0 | | | | |