FY 2025 Budget Performance Review 67700 Supreme Court Date submitted Lead Financial Officer: Diana O'Neal 9/29/2023 Original ersion ead Administrator: Jari Askins Agency Mission **Division and Program Descriptions** ote: Please define any acronyms used in program descriptions. Administrative Office of the Courts The Administrative Director and staff assist the Chief Justice in the administrative duties of the Oklahoma judicial system, including accounting, payroll, training, data systems, research and other responsibilities. The Administrative Office of the Court also provides leadership and administrative support for various judicial boards and commissions. Justices and Staff The Supreme Court makes final determination of issues of a civil nature. The Supreme Court has administrative responsibility for the entire Oklahoma jusicial system. Support staff is responsible for handling Justice's calendars, preparing dockets for conferences and circulating proposed opinions and orders. Staff attorneys aid the Justices in research and drafting proposed opinions. Appellate Court Clerk As the Court's record-keeper, the Clerk maintains official hearing records, operates recording and timing equipment and ensures that proper courtroom procedures are preserved. The Clerk's office maintains operational contact with the parties and attorneys for all cases and is the repository for all filings made in connection with any case. The Clerk also serves as the Clerk of the Court of Criminal Appeals, the Court of Civil Appeals, the Court of the Judiciary and the Court of Tax Review. **Court of Civil Appeals** Responsible for the appellate decisions regarding civil matters as assigned by the Supreme Court, with offices in Oklahoma City and Tulsa. Alternative Dispute Resolution Administers the Dispute Resolution Act providing convenient access to conflict resolution (mediation) services to Oklahoma citizens using certified volunteer mediators. It also promulgates rles and establishes jurisdictional guidelines for mediation programs. Management Information Services 

Responsible for the Oklahoma Supreme Court Network (OSCN), designed to provide the public and the Bar with access to the public legal documents of the State of Oklahoma. Media, business and private entities all benefit from the information provided by OSCN at no cost. OSCN provides access to thousands of published Oklahoma appellate cases, statutes, Attorney General's opinions, certiorari dispositions, court rules, forms, and many other documents.

## Family Representation and Advocacy Program

Responsible to ensure uniform and high quality legal representation for children and indigent parents, legal guardians, and Indian custodians in deprived child actions brought by the state.

	FY'24 Budgeted Department Funding By Source							
Dept. #	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local <sup>1</sup>	Other <sup>2</sup>	Total	
0100001	Justices and Staff	\$7,033,388					\$7,033,388	
0200001	Administrative Office of the Courts	\$1,873,119	\$740,000	\$5,510,792			\$8,123,911	
3000001	Court of Civil Appeals - OKC	\$3,499,725					\$3,499,725	
3000002	Court of Civil Appeals - Tulsa	\$3,683,673					\$3,683,673	
4000001	Alternative Dispute Resolution	\$0		\$1,496,847			\$1,496,847	
6000001	Family Representation and Advocacy Program	\$4,600,000					\$4,600,000	
8000001	Appellate Court Clerk	\$962,521		\$118,800			\$1,081,321	
8800002	Management Information Services			\$18,385,103			\$18,385,103	
							\$0	
Total		\$21,652,426	\$740,000	\$25,511,542	\$0	\$0	\$47,903,968	

1. Please describe source of Local funding not included in other categories:

2. Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicable for each department:

	FY'23 Carryover by Funding Source									
Class Fund #	Carryover Class Fund Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local <sup>1</sup>	Other <sup>2</sup>	Total			
19301	Duties	\$1,079,000					\$1,079,000			
						\$0				
							\$0			
1. Please descr	ribe source of Local funding not included in other categorie	es:								
2. Please descr	ribe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicab	le:								

## What changes did the agency make between FY'23 and FY'24?

1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?

2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?

3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?

4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required?

After receiving annronriated funds as requested staff attorneys and administrative assistants for the lustices and ludges nlus ΔΩC staff were given a nav raise effective luly 1 2023

		FY 2025 Budget Perform	mance Review	N			
		67700 Supreme	e Court				
Manalan	Original				Data submitted		0/20/2022
Version Lead Admini	Original strator: Jari Askins					fficer: Diana O'Ne	9/29/2023 eal
Alter receivin	ום מאאי סאומנכת ותותה מה ובקתבהנכת, הנמון מננטווובאה מות מתו	ministrative assistants for the justices and j	uuges pius AOC sta	in were given a pa	y raise enective a	ily 1, 2023.	
		FY'25 Requested Funding By De	partment and So	ource			
Dept. #	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other <sup>1</sup>	Total	% Change
0100001 0200001	Justices and Staff Administrative Office of the Courts	\$8,057,198 \$3,292,391	\$0 \$740,000	\$0 \$5,510,792	\$0 \$0		14.56% 17.47%
3000001	Court of Civil Appeals - OKC	\$3,587,624	\$0		\$0		
3000002 4000001	Court of Civil Appeals - Tulsa Alternative Dispute Resolution	\$3,771,000 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,496,847	\$0 \$0		2.37% 0.00%
6000001	Family Representation and Advocacy Program	\$4,600,000	\$0 \$0		\$0 \$0		
8000001 8800002	Appellate Court Clerk Management Information Services	\$1,020,902 \$1,513,775	\$0 \$0	\$118,800 \$18,385,103	\$0 \$0		5.40% 8.23%
Total		\$25,842,890	\$740,000	\$25,511,542	\$0	\$52,094,432	8.75%
	cribe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding for each d		<i>¥1</i> 10,000	<i><i><i><i>q</i></i>=0,022,012</i></i>	Ţ	<i>\\</i>	0.1075
1							
		FY'25 Top Five Operational Appropr	riation Funding F	Requests			
Request by	Desured Description						Appropriation Request
Priority	Request Description						Amount (\$)
Request 1: Request 2:	Courts phone system upgrade Computer replacement on a four-year cycle						\$500,000 \$1,013,775
Request 3:	Staff salary increase						\$515,872
Request 4: Request 5:	Judicial salary increase Replace Judicial Data Center power and cooling system						\$835,006 \$1,325,811
	-p				Top Five Reques	t Subtotal:	\$4,190,464
Total Increas	se above FY-24 Budget (including all requests)						\$ 4,190,464
Difference be	etween Top Five requests and total requests:						\$0
Difference be							ŬÇ.
	Does the agency have	e any costs associated with the Pathfin	nder retirement	system and fede	ral employees?		
MIS to delay	ner fines, fees and collections through District Courts, MIS re projects and equipment purchases. The budget also conter ses cannot be implemented. Additionally, the Supreme Cou	mplates salary increases for non-judicial sta	rebounded since 20 aff (and those not p eases if the Board o	020 when courthou baid by a revolving n Judicial Compen	uses nearly shut d fund). If no addit	own due to COVID	
The Supreme	e Court would delay filling vacant positions and may need to		ophation reduct				
The Supreme							
		Is the agency seeking any fee i	ncreases for FY '	25?			
						Fee Increase Request (\$)	Statutory change required? (Yes/No)
Increase 1							(100)
Increase 2 Increase 3							
	What are th	ne agency's top 2-3 capital or technolo	ogy (one-time) re	quests if applic	abla2		
Description	of request in order of priority	Te agency's top 2-3 capital of technolog	Jgy (one-time) to	equests, if applic	able:	Appropriated	Submitted to LRCPC?
Priority 1	or request in order of priority					Amount (\$)	(Yes/No)
Priority 2							
Priority 3							
		Federal Fund	ds				
CFDA	Federal Program Name	Agency Dept. #	FY 24 budgeted	FY 23	FY 22	FY 21	FY 20
93586	Oklahoma Court Improvement Program	0200005	740,000	760,000	840,000	820,000	800,000
		Foderal Courses	d Immed			•	·
1.) How muc	h federal money received by the agency is tied to a manda	Federal Governmen ate by the Federal Government?	it Impact				
None	· · · · ·	·					
	f those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?						
n/a							
3.) What wo	uld the consequences be of ending all of the federal funde	ed programs for your agency?					
Oklahoma w	ould be the only state without a Court Improvement Progra		ermanence of child	lren in the foster c	are system by trai	ning judges and at	torneys handling juvenile
deprived case	es.						
4.) How will	your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the com	ning fiscal year?					

Only the Court Improvement Program has the potential to be impacted.

5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

No

	FY 2024 Budgeted FTE								
Division #	Division Name	Supervisors	Non-Supervisors	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$100K	\$100K+		
0100001	Justices and Staff	9	32		6	6	29		
0200001	Administrative Office of the Courts	6	20		11	10	5		
3000001	Court of Civil Appeals - OKC	6	15		3	1	17		
3000002	Court of Civil Appeals - Tulsa	6	15		3	1	17		
4000001	Alternative Dispute Resolution	1	0			1			
8000001	Appellate Court Clerk	1	9		9		1		
8800002	Management Information Services	11	64		24	46	5		
Total		40	155	0	56	65	74		

	FTE History by Fiscal Year								
Division #	Division Name	FY 2024 Budgeted	FY 2024 YTD	FY 2023	FY 2022	FY 2021	FY 2016		
All FTE		195.0	185.0	179.2	176.9	171.6	177.9		
Total		195.0	185.0	179.2	176.9	171.6	177.9		
Performance Measure Review									

FY 2025 Budget Performance Review								
67700 Supreme Court								
Version Original Lead Administrator: Jari Askins			Date submitted Lead Financial Of	ificer: Diana O'Ne	9/29/2023 eal			
	FY 2023	FY 2022	FY 2021	FY 2020	FY 2019			
Program Name								
				1	1			

Revolving Funds (200 Series Funds)								
Please provide fund number, fund name, description, and revenue source	FY'21-23 Avg. Revenues	FY'21-23 Avg. Expenditures	June '23 Balance					
200								
Information Systems Revolving Fund	\$11,015,475	\$12,005,876	\$7,442,534					
205								
Supreme Court Revolving Fund	\$304,464	\$181,918	\$593,636					
210								
Supreme Court Administrative Revolving Fund	\$0	\$78,771	\$2,459,335					
215								
Law Library Revolving Fund	\$1,499,899	\$1,178,324	\$2,822,134					
220								
Dispute Resolution Revolving Fund	\$1,263,624	\$1,272,994	\$253,338					
230								
State Judicial Revolving Fund	\$28,720,991	\$1,270,455	\$13,295,008					
240								
Judicial Center Revolving Fund	\$1,444	\$701	\$10,005					

FY 2024 Current Employee Telework Summary								
List each agency location, then report the number of employees associated with that location in the teleworking categories indicated. Use "No specified location" to account for remote employees not associated with a site. Use actual current employees (headcount), not budgeted or actual FTE.			Full-time and Part-time Employees (#)					
City	County	Onsite (5 days onsite, rarely remote)	Hybrid (2-4 days onsite weekly)	Remote (1 day or less weekly onsite)	Total Employees			
		133	40	12	185			
					0			
					0			
· · · · · ·				Employees	185			
	that location in the teleworking categories indi Ise actual current employees (headcount), not	that location in the teleworking categories indicated. Use "No Ise actual current employees (headcount), not budgeted or actual	that location in the teleworking categories indicated. Use "No lse actual current employees (headcount), not budgeted or actual City County (5 days onsite, rarely remote)	that location in the teleworking categories indicated. Use "No Ise actual current employees (headcount), not budgeted or actual City County County (2-4 days onsite rarely remote) 133 40 133 40	that location in the teleworking categories indicated. Use "No Ise actual current employees (headcount), not budgeted or actual City County County (5 days onsite, rarely remote) (2-4 days onsite) (2-4 days onsite) (2-4 days onsite)			