# FY23 Budget Performance Review District Attorneys and District Attorneys Council 220

Lead Administrator: Trent H. Baggett

Lead Financial Officer: Timothy B. Webster

#### Agency Mission

The mission of Oklahoma's District Attorneys is to protect the public through proactively advocating as Ministers of Justice for public safety and welfare, and through educating and collaborating with communities, law enforcement and policy makers while supporting our staff through personal and professional development and peer support. The 27 District Attorneys are required to prosecute actions for crimes committed in their respective districts, and to prosecute or defend civil actions in which any county in their district is interested, or is a party.

#### **10 - Prosecutorial Services**

Division and Program Descriptions

The 27 elected District Attorneys of the State of Oklahoma are responsible for the prosecution of state crimes, all juvenile delinquency actions, actions involving abused or neglected children and mental health cases, as well as serving as legal advisor to the counties. Division or Program Description.

## 20 - General Administration

The District Attorneys Council provides general administrative support to the prosecutorial system by processing the personnel, fiscal and other financial operations of district attorney offices, by providing training and legal research support, by acting as liaison between the district attorney system and other parts of the criminal justice system, by providing general information and coordination of district attorney system activities as needed and by representing the district attorneys system as liaison to other areas of government.

#### 41 - Child Support Services

Through Cooperative Agreements with DHS, 7 District Attorneys provide child support enforcement services, i.e. enforcing child support obligations by all available and appropriate civil and criminal remedies.

#### 42 - Bogus Check/Restitution and Diversion

These programs are self-supporting systems of deferred prosecution, providing restitution to victims of crimes involving bogus checks and certain property crimes. They provide an alternative to traditional prosecution and incarceration of offenders through diversion of cases prior to filing through the court system. These alternative types of prosecutionreduce costs of the prosecution, court, and correction systems. These programs are completely funded through fees and require no state appropriations. In recent years, funds derived from this program have assisted with temporarily prevented wide-spread furloughs and lay-offs. However, revenues have been decreasing in recent years as moremerchants utilize electronic means to verify checks, and more offenders use debit cards.

## 43 - Federal Grant Programs

This activity encompasses federal grant funds which the District Attorneys Council and District Attorneys have been awarded or administer. Major programs include the JusticeAssistance Grant (JAG), Violence Against Women (VAWA), and Victims of Crime Assistance (VOCA). The FY19 budget for the Council and the District Attorneys is approximately \$7.9 million within this program. These funds are intended to assist in providing case services or to enhance services provided by District Attorney offices.

The Council also has two discretionary grants within this agency, Victim Assistance Discretionary Grant Training Program for VOCA Victim Assistance and Improving Criminial Justice Responses.

#### 44 - UVED Program

The Uninsured Vehicle Enforcement Diversion Program is a state-wide initiative aimed at reducing the number of uninsured vehicles on Oklahoma roadways.

### **45 - Drug Asset Forfeiture**

The Drug Asset Forfeiture program is a system of cooperative law enforcement activity at the local level in which assets used in illegal drug operations are forfeited. These assets, or the proceeds from the sale of these assets, go to District Attorneys and law enforcement entities involved in the seizures. The forfeited assets are used for drug enforcement, prosecution, prevention, and education.

#### 50 - Federal Pass-Through Grants

The Council is designated by the Governor to administer the Byrne Justice Assistance Grant (JAG), Violence Against Women Act Grant (VAWA), Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Grant (RSAT), the Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Grants (NFSIA), Sexual Assault Services Program (SASP), Victims of Crime Assistance (VOCA) and Project Safe Neighborhood (PSN). The Council also has three discretionary grants, NCIS Act Record Improvement Program (NARIP), Rural Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, Dating Violence & Stalking Program (RURAL) and the National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIP) within this division. These funds are received by this agency and disbursed to other applicants by Boards established to award the funds. The Council provides administration of the funds, including compliance with federal reporting requirements, monitoring and regulations regarding awards. Funds are used to provide grants to governmental and non-profit organizations to assist victims of crime, to further combat drug and violent crime, to provide enhanced services to crime victims, and to stop violence against women.

#### 60 - Crime Victim Services

The primary purpose of this program is to provide compensation for economic losses sustained by persons who are injured in Oklahoma as a result

of criminally injurious conduct. It is the mission of this program to provide statutory benefits to eligible victims of violent crime in a compassionate, efficient, and respectful manner. The funds used to assist victims of violent crime come from offenders at the state and federal levels. It is the intent of this program to provide a method of compensation for victims of crime that will provide financial relief for the victim and hold the offender accountable.

88 - Information Technology

The District Attorneys Council IT Division provides technical and computer services to all of the offices of the District Attorneys and the District Attorneys Council. In addition, it assists with providing information to the criminal justice system as whole.

FY'22 Budgeted Department Funding By Source							
Dept.	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local <sup>1</sup>	Other <sup>2</sup>	Total

	FY	23 Budget Per	formance <b>F</b>	Review			
		rneys and Dist	trict Attorn	v			
	inistrator: Trent H. Baggett	<i><b><i><b>¢</b></i></b><i><b>6</b></i><b><b>7 005 1 70 0</b></b></i>			Lead Financial	Officer: Timoth	•
	District Attorneys State Appropriations	\$57,235,172		¢ 401 01 4			\$57,235,172
	Evidence Fund (Transferred from State Appro	priations Yearly)		\$491,014			\$491,014
1000005				\$824,456			\$824,456
1000007				\$254,615			\$254,615
	Prosecution Assessments			\$1,498,943			\$1,498,943
	Supervision Fees			\$331,232			\$331,232
	Drug Court (DMH Contracts)			\$280,872			\$280,872
	Drug Court Fines			\$117,032			\$117,032
	Deferred Prosecution Agreement Funds			\$410,666			\$410,666
	991 Costs Program			\$289,922			\$289,922
1000044	County Funds			\$2,907,476			\$2,907,476
	District Attorneys Council	\$938,596		\$187,539			\$1,126,135
2010002	Conference Expenses	\$115,000					\$115,000
2010003	TSRP Program (Traffic Safety Resource Prose	ecutor)		\$179,789			\$179,789
4100001	Child Support (DHS Contracts)			\$4,935,967			\$4,935,967
	Bogus Check			\$918,242			\$918,242
4200002	Restitution & Diversion Program			\$5,000			\$5,000
	Drug Grant (JAG-Justice Assistance Program)	)	\$2,251,041	. ,			\$2,251,041
	VOCA Grant (Victims of Crime Act)		\$3,480,370				\$3,480,370
	Violence Against Women Act (VAWA)		\$1,140,641				\$1,140,641
	Residential Sub Abuse Treatment (RSAT)		\$51,341				\$51,341
	Nat'l Criminal History Improvement Program	(NCHIP)	\$40,417				\$40,417
	Nat'l Forensic Science Improvement Act (NFS		\$26,317				\$26,317
	Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN North)		\$20,317 \$11,421				\$20,317 \$11,421
	5 6						
	Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN West)		\$125,561				\$125,561
	Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN East)		\$8,978				\$8,978
	Rural Domestic Violence Program		\$271,710				\$271,710
	Sexual Assault Services Program (SASP)		\$22,314				\$22,314
	JRJ Loan Repayment Grant Program		\$38,319				\$38,319
	VOCA Victim Assistance Training Program		\$155,637				\$155,637
	Juvenile Justice Training		\$31,160				\$31,160
	NICS Act Record Improvement Program (NA	RIP)	\$38,143				\$38,143
	COVID Emergency Supplemental Funds		\$278,641				\$278,641
4400001	UVED (Uninsured Vehicle Enforcement & D	iversion Program)		\$1,066,485			\$1,066,485
4400002	UVED Administration			\$238,952			\$238,952
4500001	Drug Asset Forfeiture			\$1,741,424			\$1,741,424
5000001	Drug Grant (JAG-Justice Assistance Program)	) Pass-Through	\$535,000				\$535,000
5000002	VOCA Grant (Victims of Crime Act) Pass-Th	rough	\$21,928,249				\$21,928,249
5000004	Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) Pass-	Through	\$2,250,000				\$2,250,000
5000006	Residential Sub Abuse Treatment (RSAT) Pas	ss-Through	\$850,000				\$850,000
	Nat'l Criminal History Improvement Program	U	\$1,027,000				\$1,027,000
	Nat'l Forensic Science Improvement Act (NFS		\$497,800				\$497,800
	Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN North) Pass		\$156,000				\$156,000
	Improving Criminal Justice Response Program		\$109,239				\$118,000
	Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN East) Pass-	, ,	\$118,000				\$75,000
	Sexual Assault Services Program (SASP) Pass	•	\$400,000				\$675,000
	NICS Act Record Improvement Program (NA	-	\$400,000				\$2,588,198
	1 0 1		\$3,000,000 \$2,853,000				\$2,388,198 \$6,000,000
	COVID Emergency Supplemental Funds		φ <b>2,03</b> 3,000				
	Crime Victims Compensation State			\$4,420,000			\$4,420,000
	Crime Victims Compensation Federal	homofor State C		\$2,000,000			\$2,300,000
	Sexual Assault Examination Fund (Funding T	ransier State Com	ιp)	\$1,000,000			\$1,000,000
	Victim Compensation Administrative		<b>* =</b>	\$860,000			\$860,000
	VOCA Assistance Administrative		\$765,565				\$752,736
	VOCA Compensation Administrative		\$119,049				\$82,184
	Budget IT Purchases for Districts			\$252,825			\$252,825
	IT Grants NCHIP		\$250,000				\$250,000
8800004	Revolving Funding For IT			\$1,646,278			\$1,646,278
Total		. , ,	\$42,830,913	. , ,	\$0	\$0	\$127,978,410
	ና የ	Y'21 Carryover l	ny Funding S	ource			
Clear	-						
Class Fund	Carryover Class Fund Name	Appropriations		Revolving	Local <sup>1</sup>	Other <sup>2</sup>	Total

FY23 Budget Performance Review								
Lead Administrator: Trent H. Baggett District Attorneys and District Attorneys Council 220 Lead Financial Officer: Timothy B.							ıv B. Webster	
19111	State Appropriations Carryover	\$843,112			Leuu I munchul		\$843,112	
		did the agency m			'22?			
	here any services no longer provided becaus	-	)	No				
	t services are provided at a higher cost to the			Not Applicable				
<b>3.) Wha</b>	t services are still provided but with a slowe	r response rate?						
The distri	ict attorneys have not filled positions, have cut	operating costs, ar	nd are utilizing	g all funding res	ources. For s	ome districts, t	his has resulted	
in slower	response times for certain cases and dockets.							
4.) Did tl	he agency provide any pay raises that were <b>a</b>	not legislatively/s	tatutorily rec	quired?				
Each dist	trict attorney evaluates pay on a regular basis ba	<u> </u>				•		
Dont		uested Funding Appropriations	By Departme Federal		Other	Total	0/ Change	
Dept.	Department Name District Attorneys State Appropriations	\$78,930,524	reuerai	Revolving	\$0	\$78,930,524	<b>% Change</b> 37.919	
	Evidence Fund (Transferred from State Appropriations			\$491,014	\$0 \$0	\$491,014	0.009	
1000004		priations (carry)		\$824,456	\$0 \$0	\$ <del>4</del> 91,014 \$824,456	0.009	
	Jail Fees			\$824,430 \$254,615	\$0 \$0	\$824,430 \$254,615	0.009	
	Prosecution Assessments			\$2,54,015	\$0 \$0	\$1,498,943	0.009	
	Supervision Fees			\$331,232	\$0 \$0	\$331,232	0.000	
	Drug Court (DMH Contracts)			\$331,232 \$280,872	\$0 \$0	\$280,872	0.00	
	Drug Court Fines			\$280,872 \$117,032	\$0 \$0	\$280,872	0.00	
	Deferred Prosecution Agreement Funds			\$117,032 \$410,666	\$0 \$0	\$117,032 \$410,666	0.00	
	991 Costs Program			\$289,922	\$0 \$0	\$289,922	0.00	
	County Funds			\$2,907,476	\$0 \$0	\$2,907,476	0.00	
	District Attorneys Council	\$963,779		\$187,539	\$0 \$0	\$1,151,318	2.24	
	Conference Expenses	\$115,000		φ107,557	\$0 \$0	\$115,000	0.000	
	TSRP Program (Traffic Safety Resource Prose			\$179,789	\$0	\$179,789	0.00	
	Child Support (DHS Contracts)			\$4,935,967	\$0 \$0	\$4,935,967	0.00	
	Bogus Check			\$918,242	\$0 \$0	\$918,242	0.00	
	Restitution & Diversion Program			\$5,000	\$0 \$0	\$5,000	0.00	
	Drug Grant (JAG-Justice Assistance Program)		\$2,251,041	ψ5,000	\$0 \$0	\$2,251,041	0.00	
	VOCA Grant (Victims of Crime Act)		\$3,480,370		\$0 \$0	\$3,480,370	0.00	
	Violence Against Women Act (VAWA)		\$1,140,641		\$0 \$0	\$1,140,641	0.00	
	Residential Sub Abuse Treatment (RSAT)		\$51,341		\$0	\$51,341	0.009	
	Nat'l Criminal History Improvement Program	(NCHIP)	\$40,417		\$0	\$40,417	0.009	
	Nat'l Forensic Science Improvement Act (NFS	, ,	\$26,317		\$0	\$26,317	0.00	
	Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN North)	, ii i)	\$11,421		\$0	\$11,421	0.009	
	Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN West)		\$125,561		\$0	\$125,561	0.009	
	Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN East)		\$8,978		\$0	\$8,978	0.009	
	Rural Domestic Violence Program		\$271,710		\$0	\$271,710	0.009	
	Sexual Assault Services Program (SASP)		\$22,314		\$0	\$22,314	0.009	
	JRJ Loan Repayment Grant Program		\$38,319		\$0	\$38,319	0.00	
	VOCA Victim Assistance Training Program		\$155,637		\$0	\$155,637	0.00	
	Juvenile Justice Training		\$31,160		\$0	\$31,160	0.00	
	NICS Act Record Improvement Program (NA	RIP)	\$38,143		\$0	\$38,143	0.00	
	COVID Emergency Supplemental Funds	<i>`</i>	\$278,641		\$0	\$278,641	0.00	
	UVED (Uninsured Vehicle Enforcement & D	iversion Program)	, ~	\$1,066,485	\$0	\$1,066,485	0.00	
	UVED Administration			\$238,952	\$0	\$238,952	0.00	
	Drug Asset Forfeiture			\$1,741,424	\$0	\$1,741,424	0.00	
	Drug Grant (JAG-Justice Assistance Program)	Pass-Through	\$535,000		\$0	\$535,000	0.00	
	VOCA Grant (Victims of Crime Act) Pass-Th	U	\$21,928,249		\$0	\$21,928,249	0.00	
	Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) Pass-	U	\$2,250,000		\$0	\$2,250,000	0.00	
	Residential Sub Abuse Treatment (RSAT) Pas	U	\$850,000		\$0	\$850,000	0.00	
	Nat'l Criminal History Improvement Program	U	\$1,027,000		\$0	\$1,027,000	0.00	
	Nat'l Forensic Science Improvement Act (NFS		\$497,800		\$0	\$497,800	0.00	
	Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN North) Pass		\$156,000		\$0	\$156,000	0.00	
	Improving Criminal Justice Response Program		\$109,239		\$0	\$109,239	-7.429	
	Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN East) Pass-	, ,	\$118,000		\$0	\$118,000	57.339	
Dept.	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other <sup>1</sup>	Total	% Change	
<u> </u>	Sexual Assault Services Program (SASP) Pass		\$400,000	0	\$0	\$400,000	-40.749	
	NICS Act Record Improvement Program (NA	-	\$3,000,000		\$0	\$3,000,000	15.91%	
5000093	COVID Emergency Supplemental Funds		\$2,853,000		\$0	\$2,853,000	-52.459	

FY	23 Budget Per	formance <b>R</b>	Review			
District Atto	rneys and Dist	rict Attorn	eys Council	220		
Lead Administrator: Trent H. Baggett	-				Officer: Timoth	y B. Webster
6000002 Crime Victims Compensation Federal			\$2,000,000	\$0	\$2,000,000	-13.04%
6000003 Sexual Assault Examination Fund (Funding T	ransfer State Com	p)	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	0.00%
6000005 Victim Compensation Administrative			\$860,000	\$0	\$860,000	0.00%
6000006 VOCA Assistance Administrative		\$765,565		\$0	\$765,565	1.70%
6000007 VOCA Compensation Administrative		\$119,049		\$0	\$119,049	44.86%
8800001 Budget IT Purchases for Districts			\$252,825	\$0	\$252,825	0.00%
8800003 IT Grants NCHIP		\$250,000		\$0	\$250,000	0.00%
8800004 Revolving Funding For IT	<b>\$00.000.000</b>	¢ 40.000.040	\$1,646,278	\$0	\$1,646,278	0.00%
Total	. , ,	\$42,830,913	. , ,		\$149,698,945	16.97%
FY 23 Top Fiv	e Operational A	opropriation	Funding Requ	iests		
Request						Request
Priority Request Description						Amount
Request 1 Additional Funding for Office Operations						\$8,338,100
Request 2 Additional Funding for Recruitiment and Reta	inment					\$5,882,485
Request 3 Additional Funding to Address McGirt Issues						\$7,500,000
			Top Fiv	e Request Si	ubtotal:	\$21,720,585
					r	
Total Increase above FY-21 Budget (including all re	quests)				l	\$ 21,720,585
					r	
Difference between Top Five requests and total requests			• • •			\$0
Does the agency have any costs asso						
Yes, the agency currently has estimated expenses for FY				les with the P	athfinder system	m. This issue
will only continue to grow as more employees retire and				actived in F	7 1229 (Elat/ 0	( ohongo)
How would the agency be affected by receiving			-	-		
of the state, all are grappling with the potential impact o			-		-	
witnesses and evidence is/are still available should any c			•		•	
might think that McGirt would reduce the number of cas		•	- · -			
term. The cases being resurrected on post-conviction rel	ief are almost too	numerous to n	nention. In one	district it is n	ot uncommon f	for them to have
a "McGirt docket" of 200+ cases each week that district				-	-	-
dockets take an incredible toll not only upon the district	-					
Additionally, efforts must be made to try to determine w					•	
either in the federal system or the tribal court system. Vi				-	•	
who has helped them in the past in the district attorney's			-			
emotional toll these cases take on all D.A. personnel has			-	-		
court systems. Starting attorneys in the federal system pa	-			•••	•	
to make in the mid-\$40,000.00 range. As a consequence	-	-	-	-	-	-
slows even further. The district attorney system is doing	the best that they	can, but they o	desperately nee	d more resour	rces to retain ex	perienced
attorneys and to recruit highly qualified attorneys.						
A zero or no change in appropriation could be considered						U
and the associated expenses including salaries and benef	fits, a zero budget	has almost as	much impact a	s a reduction	due to the inab	ility to compete
for competent employees.						
How would the age	ncy handle a 2%	appropriatio	on reduction ir	FY 23?		
A reduction of 2% would have a very negative impact o	n the district attor	neys and the se	ervices that the	y provide. Cu	rrently all of th	e district
attorneys are utilizing non-appropriated funding sources						
instances by the previous reductions that have occurred					-	-
multiple offices across the state and there is no end in si	ght.					
Is the ag	ency seeking any	fee increases	for FY '23?			
None						
What are the agency's top	2-3 capital or tee	chnology (one	e-time) request	ts, if applicat	ole?	
T						

None

	FY23 Budget Performance Review								
Lead Administrator: Trent H. Baggett District Attorneys and District Attorneys Council 220 Lead Financial Officer: Timothy B. Webster									
Seud Hui	ministrator Trent III Daggett	Federa	l Funds						
			FY 22						
CFDA	Federal Program Name	Agency Dept. #	Budgeted	FY 21	FY 20	FY 19	FY 18		
16017	Sexual Assault Services Program (SASP)	4300017	\$22,314	\$22,257	\$21,603	\$21,089	\$20,46		
16017	Sexual Assault Services Program (SASP)	5000017	\$400,000	\$211,513	\$272,751	\$316,794	\$310,48		
16034	COVID Emergency Supplemental Funds	4300093	\$278,641	\$558,098	\$471,786	\$0	\$		
16034	COVID Emergency Supplemental Funds	5000093	\$2,853,000	\$2,348,706	\$1,136,602	\$0	\$		
16540	Juvenile Justice Training	4300023	\$31,160	\$18,023	\$17,716	\$5,976	\$		
16554	National Criminal History Improvement								
	Program (NCHIP)	4300007	\$40,417	\$29,190	\$19,871	\$3,623	\$		
16554	National Criminal History Improvement								
	Program (NCHIP)	5000007	\$1,027,000	\$721,509	\$314,652	\$401,058	\$321,44		
16554	National Criminal History Improvement								
	Program (NCHIP)	8800003	\$250,000	\$52,013	\$450,421	\$0	\$		
16575	Victims Of Crime Act (VOCA)	4300002	\$3,480,370	\$3,597,281	\$3,620,474	\$3,455,512	\$3,356,10		
16575	Victims Of Crime Act (VOCA)	5000002	\$21,928,249	\$22,102,783	\$23,679,619	\$22,174,707	\$20,610,31		
16575	Victims Of Crime Act (VOCA)	6000006	\$765,565	\$717,986	\$735,834	\$728,853	\$723,58		
16576	Crime Victims Compensation Federal								
	(VOCA Compensation)	6000002	\$2,000,000	\$1,916,009	\$1,400,475	\$1,420,459	\$2,106,08		
16576	Crime Victims Compensation Federal								
	(VOCA Compensation)	6000007	\$119,049	\$76,064	\$75,477	\$86,416	\$123,24		
16582	VOCA Victim Assistance Training Program	4300022	\$155,637	\$112,087	\$112,120	\$120,875	\$82,11		
16582	OVC Building State Tech Capacity	6000014	\$0	\$15,990	\$36,871	\$146,036	\$		
16588	Violence Against Women Act (VAWA)	4300004	\$1,140,641	\$991,144	\$948,250	\$1,139,545	\$984,59		
16588	Violence Against Women Act (VAWA)	5000004	\$2,250,000	\$1,022,534	\$1,029,620	\$1,153,718	\$1,017,71		
16589	Rural Domestic Violence Program (RURAL)	4300016			\$237,793	\$249,487	\$212,58		
16590	Improving Criminal Justice Response Program	5000012	\$109,239	\$152,691	\$188,417	\$162,379	\$230,75		
16593	Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSA	4300006	\$51,341	\$38,494	\$24,258	\$8,903	\$9,44		
16593	Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSA		\$850,000	\$421,117		\$338,566	\$202,51		
16609	Project Safe Neighborhood (PSN North)	4300010	\$11,421	\$10,917	\$11,243	\$2,165	\$		
16609	Project Safe Neighborhood (PSN West)	4300011	\$125,561	\$66,568	\$156,916	\$25,231	\$		
16609	Project Safe Neighborhood (PSN East)	4300012	\$8,978	\$40,865	\$62,465	\$7,632	\$		
16609	Project Safe Neighborhood (PSN North)	5000010	,	,			\$		
16609	Project Safe Neighborhood (PSN West)	5000011		\$0		\$0	\$		
16609	Project Safe Neighborhood (PSN East)	5000013		\$947	\$0	\$0	\$		
16738	Justice Assistance Grant (JAG)	4300001	\$2,251,041	\$2,022,862	\$2,171,013	\$2,041,140	\$2,025,90		
16738	Justice Assistance Grant (JAG)	5000001	\$535,000			\$474,928	\$367,84		
	National Forensic Science Improvement Act								
16742	(NFSIA)	4300008	\$26,317	\$22,999	\$23,153	\$8,161	\$10,81		
	National Forensic Science Improvement Act		. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,		
16742	(NFSIA)	5000008	\$497,800	\$454,144	\$234,627	\$132,228	\$98,21		
	Sex Offender Registeration & Notification		1	1 - 7	1 - 7	1 - 7 -	1 7		
16750	Act (SORNA)	5000019	\$0	\$0	\$64,106	\$148,220	\$69,69		
_ •	NICS Act Record Improvement Program		+ 0	÷ •	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
16813	(NARIP)	4300024	\$38,143	\$505,162	\$548,186	\$4,052	\$		
	NICS Act Record Improvement Program	.200021	<i>400,110</i>	+2 00,102	÷e :0,100	+ 1,002	Ψ		
16813	(NARIP)	5000021	\$3,000,000	\$1,035,328	\$642,957	\$771,339	\$34,27		
16816	JRJ Loan Repayment Grant Program	4300021			ŕ	· · · · ·	\$39,50		
		Federal Gover	. ,		<i>\</i>	φ551	<i>437,</i> 30		

**1.)** How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?

All federal funding received by the District Attorneys Council is tied to strict grant guidelines established by the federal government. Inherent in the grant programs are rules which guide the use of the federal funds but there are no mandates prior to applying for and accepting federal grant funds. There is not a "mandate" per se which is required if federal funds are not available.

2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?

Federal funds are used to supplement duties and services provided.

3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?

Due to many types of grants administered by the agency, public safety would be significantly and negatively impacted. In addition, the District Attorneys Council would not be able to maintain the same level of services to crime victims. Many of the victims service agencies currently funded with federal funds would have to close or try to find resources in the community or through the legislature to comply with state mandates for victims services.

# FY23 Budget Performance Review District Attorneys and District Attorneys Council 220

Lead Administrator: Trent H. Baggett

Lead Financial Officer: Timothy B. Webster

# 4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?

There will be some impact to certain programs but it is impossible to predict the reductions as each program is addressed at the federal level in different ways. What is known is that as fewer programs are implemented, the impact on public safety can and will be significant depending on the individual program. It will have a negative impact on public safety and will be significant depending on the individual program.

## 5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

No. All grants are formula grants or discretionary grants. There is no provision in the federal guidelines to request earmarks or increases. In the event of a mass casualty incident, such as the bombing of the Murrah building, supplemental awards are possible.

	a mass custury mercent, such as the bomonic (		lgeted FTE		r		
Division	Division Name	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$\$\$
10	Prosecutorial Services	27		753.3107	175.1946	406.8035	171.3126
20	General Administration	1		11.1314	0.1000	6.6784	4.3530
41	Child Support Services			66.8669	15.0000	46.7502	5.1167
42	Bogus Check Enforcement			15.8300	8.3300	5.5000	2.0000
43	Federal Grant Programs			102.7426	25.8120	73.6602	3.2704
44	Unsured Vehicle & Diversion Program			18.6960	7.7960	8.9150	1.9850
45	Drug Asset Forfeiture			19.9925	2.1500	13.9425	3.9000
50	Federal Pass-Through Grants			1.3000	0.2500	1.0500	0.0000
60	Crime Victim Services			22.0233	4.7900	17.0814	0.1519
88	Information Technology			10.7750	0.0000		2.0000
Total		28		1022.6684	239.4226	589.1562	194.0896
		FTE 1	History	1			
<b>D</b>			2022	2021	2020	2010	2012
Division		1	Budgeted	2021	2020	2018	2013
10	Prosecutorial Services		753.3	741.0	752.3		691.7
20	General Administration		11.1	11.6	11.9		12.7
41	Child Support Services		66.9	64.7	67.1		142.8
42	Bogus Check Enforcement		15.8	27.2	35.6		132.3
43	Federal Grant Programs		102.7	81.9	87.1		79.6
44	Unsured Vehicle & Diversion Program		18.7	11.5	4.6		0.0
45	Drug Asset Forfeiture		20.0	16.3	17.0		23.5
50	Federal Pass-Through Grants		1.3	0.0	0.0		0.2
60	Crime Victim Services		22.0		21.1		15.9
88	Information Technology		10.8	8.4	8.6		6.3
Total			1022.7	984.3	1005.3	1018.0	1105.0
		Performance M	FY 21	FY 20	FY 19	FY 18	FY 17
	Drug Asset Forfeiture		<b>FI 21</b>	<b>FI 20</b>	F I 19	<b>F I 10</b>	<b>FI1</b> /
Drosocuto	ors/Investigators dedicated to drug enforcement		6.23	7.34	7	6.1	13
TTOSCCUR	Crime Victim Services	•	0.23	7.34	1	0.1	15
Number (	of victims compensation program claims filed.		1690	1614	1676	1526	1,524
i tumber v	Crime Victim Services		1070	1014	1070	1520	1,524
Number (	of months to process claim.		1.91	3.6	4.10	5.23	2.00
		Revolving Funds					
		0			FY'19-	-21 Avg.	June '21
			FY'19-21 A	vg. Revenues	Expen	ditures	Balance
	210 - DAC Revoling Fund						
Revenue	sources - monies received by the Council other	than					
Fund use	s - expenditures required to perform the duties	imposed on the					
district at	ttorneys and the DistrictAttorneys Council by la	aw. Primary					
operational fund for the agency.		\$31,319,331		\$31,135,037		\$4,054,147	
225 - DAC Evidence Fund							
Revenue	sources - appropriations		\$391,014, ar	nount average			
Fund uses - expenses relative to any pending case within the official			transferred from State				
responsibility of the offices of the district attorneys.			Appropriations each year.		\$402,761		\$168,431
	230 - Crime Victims Compensation Fu					Т	
	sources - funds received by the Crime Victims	Compensation					
Board fro	om any source excluding appropriations						
Fund use	s - expenditures pursuant to the Oklahoma Crir	ne Victims					
	sation Act. Only expenditures pursuant to the A		\$6.61	16,844	\$4,716,426		\$2,330,134
1	240 - Sexual Assault Examination Fun			,	7		
		1		I			

FY23 Budget Performance Review								
District Attorneys and District Attorneys Council 220								
Lead Administrator: Trent H. Baggett Lead Financial Officer: Timothy B. Webster								
Revenue sources - transfers from the Crime Victims Compensation Fund								
(in appropriations bill)	\$940,744, amount average							
Fund uses - forensic medical examinations for victims of sexual assault;	transferred from Fund 230							
victim's medication	each year.	\$940,328	\$1,953					