Oklahoma Health Care Authority #807

Lead Administrator: Joel Nico Gomez (CEO)

Lead Financial Officer: Carrie Evans (CFO)

FY'16 Projected Division/Program Funding By Source							
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total	
Administration/Operations 10	\$24,317,669	\$26,730,800	\$499,691			\$51,548,159	
Medicaid Payments - 20	\$922,948,975	\$3,159,043,580	\$1,309,816,221			\$5,391,808,776	
Medicaid Contracts - 30	\$11,271,700	\$25,523,127	\$6,499,826			\$43,294,653	
Premium Assistance (IO) - 40	\$0	\$53,224,533	\$36,346,464			\$89,570,997	
Grants Management - 50	\$97,250	\$2,604,777			\$429,417	\$3,131,444	
ISD Information Services - 88	\$12,414,919	\$56,316,530	\$5,621,401			\$74,352,850	
Total	\$971,050,513	\$3,323,443,347	\$1,358,783,603	\$0	\$429,417	\$5,653,706,879	

^{*}Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

TSET Provider Engagement Grant (57%) and TSET Health Promotions Coordinator Grant (43%)

FY'15 Carryover by Funding Source						
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total
FY'15 Carryover	\$47,016,727					\$47,016,727

^{*}Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

What Changes did the Agency Make between FY'15 and FY'16?

1) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?

Removal of benign skin lesions, sleep studies, and continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) equipment for adults have been eliminated.

2) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?

There were no changes between FY'15 and FY'16.

3) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?

N/A

4) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required? If so, please provide a detailed description in a separate document. No

FY'17 Requested Division/Program Funding By Source							
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other	Total	% Change	
Administration/Operations 10	\$24,317,669	\$26,730,800	\$499,691		\$51,548,159	0.00%	
Medicaid Payments - 20	\$960,613,539	\$3,176,710,643	\$1,318,861,077		\$5,456,185,260	1.19%	
Medicaid Contracts - 30	\$11,271,700	\$25,523,127	\$6,499,826		\$43,294,653	0.00%	
Premium Assistance (IO) - 40	\$0	\$53,224,533	\$36,346,464		\$89,570,997	0.00%	
Grants Management - 50	\$97,250	\$2,604,777	\$0	\$429,417	\$3,131,444	0.00%	
ISD Information Services - 88	\$12,414,919	\$56,316,530	\$5,621,401		\$74,352,850	0.00%	
Total	\$1,008,715,077	\$3,341,110,410	\$1,367,828,459	\$429,417	\$5,718,083,363	1.14%	

^{*}Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

TSET Provider Engagement Grant (57%) and TSET Health Promotions Coordinator Grant (43%)

NOTE: The agency anticipates a 3% provider rate reduction effective January 1, 2016 which will reduce the % change to zero.

FY'17 Top Five Appropriation Funding Requests					
		\$ Amount			
Request 1:	Annualizations - FMAP change/ Medicare A&B Premiums (1/1/2016)	\$30,463,374			
Request 2:	Maintenance - Medicaid growth (1%) / Medicare Part D- Clawback / Physician fee schedule	\$22,184,463			
Request 3:	One-Time Funding - FY-15 One-time Carryover & Replace	\$12,016,727			
Request 4:	One-Time Savings - FY-16 Onetime Savings	(27,000,000)			
Total Increase above FY-17 Request NOTE: The agency anticipates a 3% provider rate reduction effective Jan. 1, 2016 which will negate the need for additional State funding.					

How would the agency handle a 5% appropriation reduction in FY'17?

A reduction of 5% in the appropriation level amounts to a cut of \$49 million state dollars. This reduction in state dollars, combined with the matching federal funds, equates to a total reduction of \$122 million to the SoonerCare Program to achieve a 5% appropriation cut.

With a three month lead time to meet the required public notification process, the agency would recommend a reduction of overall provider rates by approximately 6% to accommodate a 5% reduction in the FY-2016 appropriation base. Assuming an effective date of July 1, this provider rate cut would achieve savings of \$49 million in state dollars and reduce the matching federal dollars by \$73 million. Except for a limited number of individuals receiving services in long term care facilities, eligibility for adults has been lowered to the minimum allowed by Federal requirements. The federal statutory maintenance of effort requirement prohibits states from reducing the number of children in the program by reducing qualification standards and also limits the reduction of benefits for this group. Although some optional adult benefits can be reduced, this action would shift costs to mandatory benefit categories. For example, the elimination of the adult emergency dental extractions will shift additional costs to the mandatory hospital emergency room payments and other costs of treating conditions caused by dental infection. Therefore, any significant budget reduction could only be achieved by provider rate reductions.

Each one percent reduction in provider rates equates to a reduction of \$8.2 million in expenditure of state funds. Therefore, a 5% appropriation reduction requires a 6% provider rate cut.

How would the agency handle a 7.5% appropriation reduction in FY'17?

A reduction of 7.5% in the appropriation level amounts to a cut of \$73 million state dollars. This reduction in state dollars, combined with the matching federal funds, equates to a total reduction of \$183 million to the SoonerCare Program to achieve a 7.5% appropriation cut.

To achieve a 7.5% appropriation reduction, the agency would be held to the same restrictions and utilize the same option as described above; however the reduction in provider rates would be greater. Each one percent reduction in provider rates equates to a reduction of \$8.2 million in expenditure of state funds. Therefore, a 7.5% reduction requires a 9% provider rate cut.

How would the agency handle a 10% appropriation reduction in FY'17?

A reduction of 10% in the appropriation level amounts to a cut of \$97 million state dollars. This reduction in state dollars, combined with the matching federal funds, equates to a total reduction of \$244 million to the SoonerCare Program to achieve a 10% appropriation cut.

To achieve a 10% appropriation reduction, the agency would be held to the same restrictions and utilize the same option as described above; however the reduction in provider rates would be greater. Each one percent reduction in provider rates equates to a reduction of \$8.2 million in expenditure of state funds. Therefore, a 10% reduction requires an 12% provider rate cut.

		Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY'16?	
			\$ Amount
Increase 1	N/A		\$0
Increase 2	N/A		\$0
Increase 3	N/A		\$0

What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable?

We have no capital or technology requests at this time.

Federal Government Impact

1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?

None. Participation in the Medicaid Program is optional for states; however, if a state chooses to participate in Medicaid the federal matching funds received are tied to federal requirements.

2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?

In relation to the response in the previous question, Medicaid is funded with federal funds matching state funds. Therefore, by definition, the federal funds are inadequate because there are not 100% federal funds tied to those mandates.

3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?

Turning back federal Medicaid funds would leave only state funds to support the program. State funds comprise about 40% of the total program expenditures that provide health care to nearly 1 million Oklahomans and has a \$5.6 billion impact on the economy in SFY-2016.

4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?

Medicaid is included in the exempt mandatory spending. Therefore, any upcoming budget cuts will have no direct impact.

5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

No

Division and Program Descriptions

Division I

Medicaid Program

Medicaid is a federal and state entitlement program that provides medical benefits to low income individuals who have no or inadequate health insurance coverage. Medicaid guarantees coverage for basic health and long term care services based upon income and/or resources. Medicaid serves as the nation's primary source of health insurance for the poor. The terms on which federal Medicaid matching funds are available to states include five broad requirements related to eligibility. In order to be eligible for Medicaid, an individual must meet all of these requirements. The availability of federal matchings funds does not necessarily mean that a state will cover these individuals since the state must still contribute its own matching funds toward the cost of coverage. In exchange for federal financial participation, states agree to cover groups of individuals referred to as "mandatory groups" and offer a minimum set of services referred to as "mandatory benefits." States can also receive federal matching funds to cover additional "optional" groups of individuals and benefits. A detailed summary of the categorical eligibility standards as well as mandatory and optional benefits provided in Oklahoma can be found in the OHCA Annual Report. Additional performance information is available in the annually issued Service Efforts and Accomplishments Report.

FY'17 Budgeted FTE						
	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$\$\$
Operations - 10	103		492	19	396	77
Medicaid Payments - 20	0		0	0	0	0
Medicaid Contracts - 30	0		0	0	0	0
Premium Assistance (IO) - 40	4		37	4	31	2
Grants Management - 50	1		25	0	24	1
ISD Information Services - 88	14		45	0	36	9
Total	122	0	599	23	487	89

FTE History					
	2016 Budgeted	2015	2012	2009	2005
Operations - 10	492.0	479.0	448.5	425.0	389.5
Medicaid Payments - 20		0	0	0	0
Medicaid Contracts - 30		0	0	0	0
Premium Assistance (IO) - 40	37.0	22.0	24.0	9.0	0
Grants Management - 50	32.0	31.0	24.0	0	0
ISD Information Services - 88	45.0	46.0	0	0	0
Total	606.0	578.0	496.5	434.0	389.5

Goal 1 - Financing & Reimbursement ### #REF! 2 Reimbursement to Hospitals as a % of Federal Upper Pymt Limit 3 Average % Reimbursement for Nursing Home Costs per Patient Day 4 Average % Reimbursement for ICF/ID Facility Costs per Patient Day 5 # of Eligible Professionals Receiving an EHR Incentive Pymt 6 # of Eligible Hospitals Receiving an EHR Incentive Payment 7 Total EHR Incentive Pymts to Eligible Professionals/Hospitals 8 % of Eligible Professionals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 9 % of Eligible Hospitals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 10 Avg SoonerCare Program Expenditure per Member Enrolled 11 Total # of Unduplicated SoonerCare Members Enrolled 12 Average Expenditure per Insure Oklahoma Member Enrolled 13 Total # of Unduplicated Insure Oklahoma Members Enrolled 14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs) 15 Total # of HAN Member Months	89.25% 90.21% 92.66% 98.85% 1,003 70 2,050,254 70.3% 97.1% \$4,260	96.75% 87.96% 94.42% 99.81% 1,022 55 \$32,553,188 61.0%	96.75% 83.33% 89.00% 100.00% 780 46 \$38,968,791	96.75% 85.24% 89.00% 100.00% 718 44	96.75% 64.87% 89.20% 100.00%
### #REF! 2 Reimbursement to Hospitals as a % of Federal Upper Pymt Limit 3 Average % Reimbursement for Nursing Home Costs per Patient Day 4 Average % Reimbursement for ICF/ID Facility Costs per Patient Day 5 # of Eligible Professionals Receiving an EHR Incentive Pymt 6 # of Eligible Hospitals Receiving an EHR Incentive Payment 7 Total EHR Incentive Pymts to Eligible Professionals/Hospitals 8 % of Eligible Professionals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 9 % of Eligible Hospitals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 10 Avg SoonerCare Program Expenditure per Member Enrolled 11 Total # of Unduplicated SoonerCare Members Enrolled 12 Average Expenditure per Insure Oklahoma Member Enrolled 13 Total # of Unduplicated Insure Oklahoma Members Enrolled 14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs) 15 Total # of HAN Member Months	90.21% 92.66% 98.85% 1,003 70 2,050,254 70.3% 97.1% \$4,260	87.96% 94.42% 99.81% 1,022 55 \$32,553,188 61.0%	83.33% 89.00% 100.00% 780 46	85.24% 89.00% 100.00% 718	64.87% 89.20%
### #REF! 2 Reimbursement to Hospitals as a % of Federal Upper Pymt Limit 3 Average % Reimbursement for Nursing Home Costs per Patient Day 4 Average % Reimbursement for ICF/ID Facility Costs per Patient Day 5 # of Eligible Professionals Receiving an EHR Incentive Pymt 6 # of Eligible Hospitals Receiving an EHR Incentive Payment 7 Total EHR Incentive Pymts to Eligible Professionals/Hospitals 8 % of Eligible Professionals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 9 % of Eligible Hospitals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 10 Avg SoonerCare Program Expenditure per Member Enrolled 11 Total # of Unduplicated SoonerCare Members Enrolled 12 Average Expenditure per Insure Oklahoma Member Enrolled 13 Total # of Unduplicated Insure Oklahoma Members Enrolled 14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs) 15 Total # of HAN Member Months	90.21% 92.66% 98.85% 1,003 70 2,050,254 70.3% 97.1% \$4,260	87.96% 94.42% 99.81% 1,022 55 \$32,553,188 61.0%	83.33% 89.00% 100.00% 780 46	85.24% 89.00% 100.00% 718	64.87% 89.20%
2 Reimbursement to Hospitals as a % of Federal Upper Pymt Limit 3 Average % Reimbursement for Nursing Home Costs per Patient Day 4 Average % Reimbursement for ICF/ID Facility Costs per Patient Day 5 # of Eligible Professionals Receiving an EHR Incentive Pymt 6 # of Eligible Hospitals Receiving an EHR Incentive Payment 7 Total EHR Incentive Pymts to Eligible Professionals/Hospitals 8 % of Eligible Professionals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 9 % of Eligible Hospitals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 10 Avg SoonerCare Program Expenditure per Member Enrolled 11 Total # of Unduplicated SoonerCare Members Enrolled 12 Average Expenditure per Insure Oklahoma Member Enrolled 13 Total # of Unduplicated Insure Oklahoma Members Enrolled 14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs) 15 Total # of HAN Member Months	90.21% 92.66% 98.85% 1,003 70 2,050,254 70.3% 97.1% \$4,260	87.96% 94.42% 99.81% 1,022 55 \$32,553,188 61.0%	83.33% 89.00% 100.00% 780 46	85.24% 89.00% 100.00% 718	64.87% 89.20%
3 Average % Reimbursement for Nursing Home Costs per Patient Day 4 Average % Reimbursement for ICF/ID Facility Costs per Patient Day 5 # of Eligible Professionals Receiving an EHR Incentive Pymt 6 # of Eligible Hospitals Receiving an EHR Incentive Payment 7 Total EHR Incentive Pymts to Eligible Professionals/Hospitals 8 % of Eligible Professionals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 9 % of Eligible Hospitals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 10 Avg SoonerCare Program Expenditure per Member Enrolled 11 Total # of Unduplicated SoonerCare Members Enrolled 12 Average Expenditure per Insure Oklahoma Member Enrolled 13 Total # of Unduplicated Insure Oklahoma Members Enrolled 14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs) 15 Total # of HAN Member Months	92.66% 98.85% 1,003 70 2,050,254 70.3% 97.1% \$4,260	94.42% 99.81% 1,022 55 \$32,553,188 61.0%	89.00% 100.00% 780 46	89.00% 100.00% 718	89.20%
4 Average % Reimbursement for ICF/ID Facility Costs per Patient Day 5 # of Eligible Professionals Receiving an EHR Incentive Pymt 6 # of Eligible Hospitals Receiving an EHR Incentive Payment 7 Total EHR Incentive Pymts to Eligible Professionals/Hospitals 8 % of Eligible Professionals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 9 % of Eligible Hospitals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 10 Avg SoonerCare Program Expenditure per Member Enrolled 11 Total # of Unduplicated SoonerCare Members Enrolled 12 Average Expenditure per Insure Oklahoma Member Enrolled 13 Total # of Unduplicated Insure Oklahoma Members Enrolled 14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs) 15 Total # of HAN Member Months	98.85% 1,003 70 2,050,254 70.3% 97.1% \$4,260	99.81% 1,022 55 \$32,553,188 61.0%	100.00% 780 46	100.00% 718	
 5 # of Eligible Professionals Receiving an EHR Incentive Pymt 6 # of Eligible Hospitals Receiving an EHR Incentive Payment 7 Total EHR Incentive Pymts to Eligible Professionals/Hospitals 8 % of Eligible Professionals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 9 % of Eligible Hospitals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 10 Avg SoonerCare Program Expenditure per Member Enrolled 11 Total # of Unduplicated SoonerCare Members Enrolled 12 Average Expenditure per Insure Oklahoma Member Enrolled 13 Total # of Unduplicated Insure Oklahoma Members Enrolled 14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs) 15 Total # of HAN Member Months 	1,003 70 2,050,254 70.3% 97.1% \$4,260	1,022 55 \$32,553,188 61.0%	780 46	718	
6 # of Eligible Hospitals Receiving an EHR Incentive Payment 7 Total EHR Incentive Pymts to Eligible Professionals/Hospitals 8 % of Eligible Professionals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 9 % of Eligible Hospitals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 10 Avg SoonerCare Program Expenditure per Member Enrolled 11 Total # of Unduplicated SoonerCare Members Enrolled 12 Average Expenditure per Insure Oklahoma Member Enrolled 13 Total # of Unduplicated Insure Oklahoma Members Enrolled 14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs) 15 Total # of HAN Member Months	70 2,050,254 70.3% 97.1% \$4,260	55 \$32,553,188 61.0%	46		
 7 Total EHR Incentive Pymts to Eligible Professionals/Hospitals 8 % of Eligible Professionals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 9 % of Eligible Hospitals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 10 Avg SoonerCare Program Expenditure per Member Enrolled 11 Total # of Unduplicated SoonerCare Members Enrolled 12 Average Expenditure per Insure Oklahoma Member Enrolled 13 Total # of Unduplicated Insure Oklahoma Members Enrolled 14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs) 15 Total # of HAN Member Months 	2,050,254 70.3% 97.1% \$4,260	\$32,553,188 61.0%	\$38,968,791		
 8 % of Eligible Professionals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 9 % of Eligible Hospitals in Compliance with Meaningful Use of EHR 10 Avg SoonerCare Program Expenditure per Member Enrolled 11 Total # of Unduplicated SoonerCare Members Enrolled 12 Average Expenditure per Insure Oklahoma Member Enrolled 13 Total # of Unduplicated Insure Oklahoma Members Enrolled 14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs) 15 Total # of HAN Member Months 	70.3% 97.1% \$4,260	61.0%	. , ,	\$44,062,545	\$35,271,710
 10 Avg SoonerCare Program Expenditure per Member Enrolled 11 Total # of Unduplicated SoonerCare Members Enrolled 12 Average Expenditure per Insure Oklahoma Member Enrolled 13 Total # of Unduplicated Insure Oklahoma Members Enrolled 14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs) 15 Total # of HAN Member Months 	\$4,260		45.3%	3.8%	. , ,
 11 Total # of Unduplicated SoonerCare Members Enrolled 12 Average Expenditure per Insure Oklahoma Member Enrolled 13 Total # of Unduplicated Insure Oklahoma Members Enrolled 14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs) 15 Total # of HAN Member Months 		98.2%	73.9%	4.5%	
 12 Average Expenditure per Insure Oklahoma Member Enrolled 13 Total # of Unduplicated Insure Oklahoma Members Enrolled 14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs) 15 Total # of HAN Member Months 		\$4,257	\$4,077	\$4,046	\$4,151
 13 Total # of Unduplicated Insure Oklahoma Members Enrolled 14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs) 15 Total # of HAN Member Months 	1,021,359		1,040,332	1,007,356	968,296
14 Avg Monthly Enrollment in Health Access Networks (HANs)15 Total # of HAN Member Months	\$2,365	\$2,350	\$2,670	\$2,677	\$2,406
15 Total # of HAN Member Months	28,397	40,261	45,855	48,616	45,220
	121,891	109,194	64,730	50,295	25,860
16 Total Payments Made to HANs \$7	1,462,695	1,310,322	776,756	603,545	310,309
= ·	7,063,475	\$6,551,610	\$3,885,990	\$3,017,725	\$1,551,595
Goal 2 - Program Development					
Health Management Program					
17 HMP Total Enrollment	4,297	5,355	1,394	4,130	5,008
HMP Per Member Per Month					
18 Forecast PMPM	\$1,097	' /	\$1,375	\$1,405	\$1,381
19 Actual PMPM	\$979	\$960	\$1,125	\$1,173	\$1,192
20 % Below Forecast	11.0%	11.0%	18.2%	16.5%	13.7%
21 HMP/Number of Providers with On-Site Practice Facilitation	41	33	50	53	56
Chronic Care Unit					
22 Number of Unduplicated Members Enrolled	1,147	978	206		
23 Percent of Members with a Diagnosis of Hemophilia	4.7%	10.1%	31.0%		
24 Percent of Members with a Diagnosis of Sickle Cell Anemia	5.4%	12.9%	41.3%		
25 Percent of Members with a Combination of Chronic Conditions	89.9%	77.0%	27.7%		
Case Management					
26 Number of New High-Risk OB members	2,192	2,474	1,998	1,832	1,586
27 Number of New At-Risk OB members	459	618	637	713	430
28 Number of New Fetal Infant Mortality Reduction Outreach to Moms	1,694	1,781	2,041	2,274	715 (partial)
29 Number of New Fetal Infant Mortality Reduction Outreach to Babies	1,094	2,138		2,271	/I

Goal 2 - Program Development (Continued) Health Access Networks (HANs) 30 Number of Contracted HANS 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		Performa	ance Measure Rev				
Health Access Networks (LANO) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			FY'15	FY'14	FY'13	FY'12	FY'11
Health Access Networks (LANO) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Gos	al 2 - Program Development (Continued)					
31 Total Number of Eurolitece (ar June 20)		-					
32 Namber of Membres Required in Receive Care Management 8.405 744 1.418 1.961 39 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	1		_	3	3		1
38 Number of Unsupriscand Providers in HANS 698 554 444 309 38 CC Ordine Provider National State 1,151,787 1,177,398 1,181,310 1,202,186 1,071,965 38 CC Ordine PCP Vis of Capucity Used 42,226 42,266 44,406 37,83% 35,53% 36,53%			·			, , ,	26,411
Some-clare Provider Network 2.558 2.309 2.170 1.933 1.598 2.500		•	·			,	
38 SCChoine PCP vol Capacity 1,151,757 1,177,398 1,191,198 1,202,168 1,071,965 38 Peccent of Tire I Farry Level Medical Homes 53,766 56,096 58,644 64,896 67,436 39 Peccent of Tire I Farry Level Medical Homes 20,096 19,126 15,076 50,096 58,644 64,896 67,436 39 Peccent of Tire I Goptimal Medical Homes 20,096 19,126 15,076 55,096 58,644 64,687 59 Peccent of Tire I Optimal Medical Homes 23,096 19,126 15,076 55,096 55,44 50,000 10,126 10,126 1		=	0,0			307	
Section Choice PCP % of Cipacity Used 42.92% 42.26% 44.06% 64.88% 674.38% 974.08%	1			*		·	1,598
37 Percent of Titer Liarry_Level Medical Homes 33,76% 56,09% 58,64% 64,88% 67,42% 80 Percent of Titer 3 Optimal Medical Homes 20,69% 19,12% 13,67% 5,57% 5,3		* *		· · ·			
38 Percent of Tire 2 Advanced Medical Homes 25.55% 23.98% 27.09% 26.37% 5.03% 3.05% 5.05% 40.00% 19.12% 13.07% 8.75% 6.39% 40.00% 10.		± *					
40 of Tire 1 Advanced Medical Homes							26.18%
42	39	*					
### 159 ### 170 ### 159 ### 150 ### 170 ### 150 ### 17	40						559
Patient-Centred Medical Home Enrollment/Tiers 3 70 tall # 07 5 60,087 5 50,070 4 79,092 43 9,228 4 % of SC Members Provided in Medical Homes 66,00% 60,00% 63,00% 64,18% 66,00% 70,00% 69,00% 63,00% 64,18% 66,00% 70,00% 69,00% 63,00% 64,18% 66,00% 70,00% 69,00% 63,00% 64,18% 66,00% 70,00% 69,00% 63,00% 64,18% 66,00% 70,00% 69,00% 63,00% 64,18% 66,00% 70,00% 69,00% 63,00% 64,18% 66,00% 70,00% 69,00% 63,00% 64,18% 66,00% 70,00% 69,00% 63,00% 64,18% 66,00% 70,00% 69,00% 63,00% 64,18% 66,00% 70,00							
13 Trout # of SC. Members Farmilled in Medical Home	42	*	104	107	117	12	33
Member aligned with Medical Homes by Tier Level	43		548,162	560,887	539,670	479,492	439,228
4 Secret of Members Aligned with Ter L Entry-Level Medical Homes 27% 28% 31% 31% 31% 41% 42% 46% 47% 4	44		66.00%	70.00%	69.00%	63.00%	64.18%
40 Percent of Members Aligned with Tier 2 Advanced Medical Homes 27% 28% 31% 31% 48 Percent of Members Aligned with Tier 1 Entry-Level Medical Homes 20,8 814 22,9 964 22,6 661 48,643 28 Number of Members Aligned with Tier 2 Advanced Medical Homes 144,334 157,048 167,298 148,643 28 Number of Members Aligned with Tier 2 Advanced Medical Homes 175,071 173,375 145,711 110,283 173,375 145,711 110,283 175,071 173,375 145,711 110,283 175,071 173,375 145,711 110,283 175,071 173,375 145,711 110,283 175,071 173,375 145,711 110,283 175,071 173,375 145,071 173,375 173,075 173		•	400/	410/	400/	460/	
Percent of Members Aligned with Tier 3 Optimal Medical Homes 34% 31% 27% 23% 426.661 220.566 49 Number of Members Aligned with Tier 2 Advanced Medical Homes 144.334 157.048 167.298 148.643 180.000 173.073 145.711 110.283 110.283 175.074 173.375 145.711 110.283 180.000 175.071 175.375 145.711 110.283 180.000 175.071 175.375 145.711 110.283 180.000 175.071 175.375 145.711 110.283 180.000 175.071 175.375 145.711 110.283 180.000 175.071 175.375 145.711 110.283 180.000 175.071 175.375 145.711 110.283 180.000 175.071 175.375 145.711 110.283 180.000 175.071 175.375 145.711 110.283 180.000		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
88 Number of Members Aligned with Tier 1 Entry-Level Medical Homes 205,814 229,964 226,661 220,566 340		•					
50 Number of Members Aligned with Tier 3 Optimal Medical Homes 175,071 173,875 145,711 110,283		Number of Members Aligned with Tier 1 Entry-Level Medical Homes	205,814	*		220,566	
Goal 3 - Personal Responsibility % of Children Accessing Well-Child Visits/EPSDT:		<u> </u>				·	
Second Trimester Second Trim		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	175,071	173,875	145,711	110,283	
11 First 15 months	30	= *					
53 Adolescents	51	· ·	N/A	96.3%	97.3%	98.3%	98.3%
Adults Health Care Use - Preventive Care: 2 20 to 44 years NA 82.4% 83.4% 83.1% 84.2% 55 42 20 to 44 years NA 89.9% 89.8% 91.0% 55 45 to 64 years NA 89.9% 89.8% 91.0% 56 Number of Medicaid Members Calling Tobacco Helpline 4,102 4,076 5,575 5,778 4,739 57 Number of Oklahomans Calling the Tobacco Helpline 24.879 22.251 35,123 38,732 37,321 58 Percent of Medicaid Members Calling the Tobacco Helpline 16.49% 18.32% 15.87% 14.92% 12.70% 59 Number Of Medicaid Members Utilizing Tobacco Cessation Benefits 26,783 21,610 23,581 25,098 25,731 50 EPSITT Participation Ratio NA 60.0% 56.0% 55.0% 55.0% 61 Average # of Members in Pharmacy Lock-In 406 404 313 273 303 22 % of Members Seeking Prenatal Care 97,74% 97,68% 97,32% 97,12% 97,54% 63 # of Births 31,237 32,254 32,915 32,904 32,060 64 First Trimester 18.824 19,881 20,306 19,331 18,336 65 Second Trimester 8,077 8,088 8,289 8,909 9,175 66 Third Trimester 3,630 3,538 3,493 3,737 3,759 76 ER Visits per L000 Member Months (calendar year) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N		•					
54 20 to 44 years	53		N/A	21.8%	22.5%	34.5%	33.5%
55 45 to 64 years	54		NA	82.4%	83.4%	83.1%	84.2%
57 Number of Oklahomans Calling the Tobacco Helpline 24,879 22,251 35,123 38,732 37,321 58 Percent of Medicaid Members Calling the Tobacco Cessation Benefits 16,49% 18,32% 15,87% 14,92% 12,70% 12,70% 16,69% 16,69% 16,69% 16,69% 16,69% 16,69% 16,69% 16,69% 16,69% 16,69% 16,69% 16,69% 16,69% 16,69% 16,69% 16,60% 16,00%	1	•					
58 Percent of Medicaid Members Calling the Tobacco Helpline 16,49% 18,32% 15,87% 14,92% 12,70% 59 Number Of Medicaid Members Utilizing Tobacco Cessation Benefits 26,783 21,610 23,581 25,098 25,731 60 EPSDT Participation Ratio NA 60.0% 56.0% 56.0% 55.0% 61 Average # of Members in Pharmacy Lock-In 406 404 313 273 303 62 % of Members Seeking Prenatal Care 97.74% 97.68% 97.32% 97.12% 97.54% 63 # of Births 31,237 32,254 32,915 32,904 32,066 64 First Trimester 18,824 19,881 20,306 19,331 18,336 65 Second Trimester 3,630 3,538 8,289 8,880 9,175 66 Third Trimester 3,630 3,538 3,493 3,737 3,759 67 EN Visits per 1,000 Member Months (calendar year) NA NA NA N/A 73.5 (half yr) 72.9 68 Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Adults: 92% 82% 90% 69 How Well Doctors Communicate 90% 80% 82% 90% 70 Getting Needed Care 86% 88% 82% 80% 71 Getting Needed Care 86		•	•	*			4,739
59 Number Of Medicaid Members Utilizing Tobacco Cessation Benefits 26,783 21,610 23,581 25,098 25,731 60 EPSDT Participation Ratio NA 60.0% 56.0% 56.0% 55.0% 55.0% 61 Average 4 of Members in Pharmacy Lock-In 406 404 313 273 303 302 % of Members Seeking Prenatal Care 97,74% 97,68% 97,32% 97,12% 97,54% 63 # of Births 31,237 32,254 32,915 32,904 32,060 44 First Trimester 18,824 19,881 20,306 19,331 18,336 55 Second Trimester 8,077 8,088 8,289 8,890 9,175 47,500							
60 EPSDT Participation Ratio 61 Average # of Members in Pharmacy Lock-In 62 % of Members Secking Prenatal Care 63 % of Members Secking Prenatal Care 63 # of Births 63 # of Births 63 # of Births 64 First Trimester 65 Second Trimester 66 Third Trimester 67 Third Trimester 68 Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Adults: 68 Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Adults: 69 How Well Doctors Communicate 69 How Well Doctors Communicate 69 How Well Doctors Communicate 60 Getting Needed Care 70 Getting Care Quickly 71 Getting Care Guickly 72 Shared Decision Making 73 Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Children: 73 Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Children: 74 How Well Doctors Communicate 75 Getting Care Guickly 76 Getting Needed Care 77 Shared Decision Making 78 Getting Needed Care 78 Shared Decision Making 79 Getting Needed Care 79 Getting Needed Care 70 Getting Needed Care 71 Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Children: 72 Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Children: 73 Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Children: 74 How Well Doctors Communicate 75 Getting Care Quickly 76 Getting Needed Care 77 Shared Decision Making 78 Getting Care Quickly 79 90 92% 70 Getting Needed Care 71 Shared Decision Making 72 Shared Decision Making 73 Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Children: 75 Getting Care Quickly 76 Getting Needed Care 77 Shared Decision Making 78 60% 79 60 92% 70 Getting Needed Care 71 Shared Decision Making 72 Shared Decision Making 73 Getting Needed Care 74 Shared Decision Making 75 Getting Search Care Quickly 76 Getting Needed Care 77 Shared Decision Making 78 60% 79 60 Fellows Participating in the Resident Satisfaction Survey Rating 79 Work Howeverlal Satisfaction Survey Rating 70 Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 70 Members Participating in the Employee Satisfaction Survey 70 Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 70 Member calls answered 70 90% 70 Member calls answered 70 90% 70 Member calls answered 70 90% 70 Member calls answered		•					
62 % of Members Seeking Prenatal Care 97.74% 97.68% 97.32% 97.12% 97.54% 63 # of Births 31,237 32,254 32,915 32,904 32,060 64 First Trimester 18,824 19,881 20,306 19,331 18,336 65 Second Trimester 8,077 8,088 8,289 8,890 9,175 66 Third Trimester 3,630 3,538 3,493 3,737 3,759 67 ER Visits per 1,000 Member Months (calendar year) NA NA NA N/A 73.5 (half yr) 72.9 60 A 4 - Satisfaction & Quality Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Adults: 68 Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Adults: 86% 82% 79% 69 How Well Doctors Communicate 90% 90% 87% 70 Getting Care Quickly 86% 82% 79% 71 Getting Needed Care 85% 82% 80% 72 Shared Decision Making 77% 50% 48% 73 Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Children: 88% 88% 77% 74 How Well Doctors Communicate 96% 97% 93% 75 Getting Care Quickly 92% 92% 93% 76 Getting Care Quickly 92% 92% 93% 76 Getting Care Quickly 92% 92% 93% 77 Shared Decision Making 78% 60% 52% 78 Shared Decision Making 78% 60% 52% 79 Wo of S-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 19% 29% 29% 16% 80 Well Doctors Communicate 93% 93% 94% 80 Well Doctors Decision Making 93% 94% 80 Well Doctors Decision of Star Participating in the Resident Satisfaction Survey Rating Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 87% 85% 88% 80 Well Doctors Participating in the Employee Satisfaction Survey Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 87% 85% 88% 80 Well Doctors Participating in the Employee Satisfaction Survey Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 87% 85% 88% 86%			•			· ·	
63 # of Births 31,237 32,254 32,915 32,904 32,060 64 First Trimester 18,824 19,881 20,306 19,331 18,336 65 Second Trimester 8,077 8,088 8,289 8,890 9,175 66 Third Trimester 3,630 3,538 3,493 3,737 3,759 67 ER Visits per 1,000 Member Months (calendar year) NA NA N/A N/A 73.5 (half yr) 60 Tell 4 - Satisfaction & Quality Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Adults: 68 Customer Service 92% 82% 90% 69 How Well Doctors Communicate 90% 90% 87% 60 Getting Care Quickly 86% 82% 80% 70 Getting Care Quickly 86% 82% 80% 71 Getting Needed Care 85% 82% 80% 72 Shared Decision Making 77% 50% 48% Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Children: 77% 50% 48% 75 Getting Care Quickly 92% 93% 93% 76 Getting Care Quickly 92% 92% 93% 76 Getting Care Quickly 92% 92% 93% 77 Getting Needed Care 85% 85% 89% 72% 78 Shared Decision Making 78% 60% 52% Other 78 % of 5-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 20% 17% 18% 15% 79 % of 4-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 20% 17% 18% 15% 79 % of 4-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 20% 17% 18% 15% 79 % of 4-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 20% 17% 18% 15% 79 % of 4-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 30% 93% 94% 80 Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 93% 93% 94% 81 Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 87% 85% 88% 82 % of Member calls answered 90% 88% 86%		=	406				
64 First Trimester		=					
65 Second Trimester				*		· ·	
66 Third Trimester 3,630 3,538 3,493 3,737 3,759 67 ER Visits per 1,000 Member Months (calendar year) NA NA NA N/A 73.5 (half yr) 72.9 Goal 4 - Satisfaction & Quality Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Adults: 68 Customer Service 92% 82% 90% 69 How Well Doctors Communicate 90% 90% 87% 70 Getting Care Quickly 86% 82% 79% 71 Getting Needed Care 85% 82% 80% 72 Shared Decision Making 77% 50% 48% Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Children: 86% 88% 77% 73 Customer Service 86% 88% 77% 74 How Well Doctors Communicate 96% 97% 93% 75 Getting Care Quickly 92% 92% 93% 76 Getting Needed Care 85% 89% 72% 77 Shared Decision Making 78% 60% 52% Other 8 60% 52% 78 % of 5-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 19% 29% 16% <							
Goal 4 - Satisfaction & Quality Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Adults:	66	Third Trimester				·	3,759
Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Adults: 68		•	NA	NA	N/A	73.5 (half yr)	72.9
68 Customer Service 92% 82% 90% 69 How Well Doctors Communicate 90% 90% 87% 70 Getting Care Quickly 86% 82% 79% 71 Getting Needed Care 85% 82% 80% 72 Shared Decision Making Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Children: 77% 50% 48% 73 Customer Service 86% 88% 77% 74 How Well Doctors Communicate 96% 97% 93% 75 Getting Care Quickly 92% 92% 92% 93% 76 Getting Needed Care 85% 89% 72% 77 Shared Decision Making Other 78% 60% 52% 78 % of 5-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 20% 17% 18% 15% 79 % of 4-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 19% 29% 29% 16% 80 Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 93% 93% 94% 81 World Review Participating in the Employee Satisfaction Survey Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 87% 85% 88% 82 % of Member calls answered 90% 88% 86%	Goa	= · · · ·					
69 How Well Doctors Communicate 90% 90% 87% 70 Getting Care Quickly 86% 82% 79% 71 Getting Needed Care 85% 82% 80% 72 Shared Decision Making 77% 50% 48% Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Children: 73 Customer Service 86% 88% 77% 74 How Well Doctors Communicate 96% 97% 93% 75 Getting Care Quickly 92% 92% 93% 76 Getting Needed Care 85% 89% 72% 77 Shared Decision Making 78% 60% 52% Other 20% 17% 18% 15% 79 % of 4-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 19% 29% 29% 16% 80 Of Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 19% 29% 29% 16% 80 Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 93% 93% 94% 81 Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 87% 85% 88% 82 % of Member calls answered 90% 88% 86%	68		92%	82%	90%		
71 Getting Needed Care 85% 82% 80% 72 Shared Decision Making Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Children: 77% 50% 48% 73 Customer Service 86% 88% 77% 74 How Well Doctors Communicate 96% 97% 93% 75 Getting Care Quickly 92% 92% 93% 76 Getting Needed Care 85% 89% 72% 77 Shared Decision Making 78% 60% 52% Other 80 52% 92% 93% 78 % of 5-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 20% 17% 18% 15% 79 % of 4-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 19% 29% 29% 16% 80 Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 93% 93% 94% 80 Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 93% 93% 94% 81 Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 87% 85% 88% 82 % of Member calls answered 90% 88% 86%	1			90%	87%		
72 Shared Decision Making 77% 50% 48% Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Children: 86% 88% 77% 73 Customer Service 86% 97% 93% 74 How Well Doctors Communicate 96% 97% 93% 75 Getting Care Quickly 92% 92% 93% 76 Getting Needed Care 85% 89% 72% 78 Shared Decision Making 78% 60% 52% Other 0ther 17% 18% 15% 79 % of 5-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 20% 17% 18% 15% 79 % of 4-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 19% 29% 29% 16% 80 Of Members Participating in the Resident Satisfaction Survey Rating Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 93% 93% 94% 81 Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 87% 85% 88% 82 % of Member calls answered 90% 88% 86%		-					
Customer Survey Results (CAHPS) Children: 86% 88% 77% 73 Customer Service 86% 88% 77% 74 How Well Doctors Communicate 96% 97% 93% 75 Getting Care Quickly 92% 92% 93% 76 Getting Needed Care 85% 89% 72% 77 Shared Decision Making 78% 60% 52% Other 0ther 15% 15% 79 % of 5-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 19% 29% 29% 16% 79 % of 4-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 19% 29% 29% 16% 80 Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 93% 93% 94% 81 Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 87% 85% 88% 82 % of Member calls answered 90% 88% 86%		<u> </u>					
73 Customer Service 86% 88% 77% 74 How Well Doctors Communicate 96% 97% 93% 75 Getting Care Quickly 92% 92% 93% 76 Getting Needed Care 85% 89% 72% 77 Shared Decision Making 78% 60% 52% Other 0 17% 18% 15% 79 % of 4-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 19% 29% 29% 16% 80 Of Members Participating in the Resident Satisfaction Survey Rating Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 93% 93% 94% 81 Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 87% 85% 88% 82 % of Member calls answered 90% 88% 86%	'2	<u> </u>	11%	50%	48%		
75 Getting Care Quickly 92% 92% 93% 76 Getting Needed Care 85% 89% 72% 77 Shared Decision Making 78% 60% 52% Other 20% 17% 18% 15% 79 % of 5-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 19% 29% 29% 16% 80 % of Members Participating in the Resident Satisfaction Survey Rating Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 93% 93% 94% 81 % of Employees Participating in the Employee Satisfaction Survey Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 87% 85% 88% 82 % of Member calls answered 90% 88% 86%	73		86%	88%	77%		
76 Getting Needed Care 85% 89% 72% 77 Shared Decision Making Other 78% 60% 52% 78 % of 5-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 20% 17% 18% 15% 79 % of 4-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 19% 29% 29% 16% 80 Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 93% 93% 94% 81 Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 87% 85% 88% 82 % of Member calls answered 90% 88% 86%	1						
77 Shared Decision Making Other 78 % of 5-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 79 % of 4-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 80 % of Members Participating in the Resident Satisfaction Survey Rating Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 81 % of Employees Participating in the Employee Satisfaction Survey Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 82 % of Member calls answered 83 % 85% 88% 84 86%		•					
Other 78 % of 5-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 79 % of 4-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence 80 % of Members Participating in the Resident Satisfaction Survey Rating Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 80 % of Employees Participating in the Employee Satisfaction Survey Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 87% 85% 88% 82 % of Member calls answered		_					
78% of 5-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence20%17%18%79% of 4-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence19%29%29%80% of Members Participating in the Resident Satisfaction Survey Rating Overall Quality as Excellent or Good93%93%94%81% of Employees Participating in the Employee Satisfaction Survey Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good87%85%88%82% of Member calls answered90%88%86%	''	•	7070	00 /0	52/0		
80 % of Members Participating in the Resident Satisfaction Survey Rating Overall Quality as Excellent or Good 81 % of Employees Participating in the Employee Satisfaction Survey Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good 87% 85% 88% 82 % of Member calls answered 90% 88% 86%	1	% of 5-Star Facilities in Focus on Excellence					
Overall Quality as Excellent or Good Note that the Employee Satisfaction Survey Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good Note that the Employee Satisfaction Survey Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good Note that the Employee Satisfaction Survey Note that th	79		19%	29%	29%	16%	
81% of Employees Participating in the Employee Satisfaction Survey Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good87% 8285% 86%88% 86%82% of Member calls answered90%88%86%	80		Q30%	03%	ΩΛ0/,		
81Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good87%85%88%82% of Member calls answered88%86%		= •	7570	75 /0	ノ サ/0		
		Who Rate Overall Satisfaction as Excellent or Good					
83 % of Provider calls answered 95% 92% 92%							
	83	% of Provider calls answered	95%	92%	92%		

Performance Measure Review						
	FY'15	FY'14	FY'13	FY'12	FY'11	
Cool 5 Elicibilita 9 Engellment						
Goal 5 - Eligibility & Enrollment	210,571	291,323	437,668	440,091	291 197	
85 Number of Online Enrollment Applications Received	·	52%	457,008	440,091 57%	384,487 71%	
86 % of Online Enrollment Applications That Are New87 % of Online Enrollment Applications That Are Recertifications	60% 40%	32% 48%	45%	43%	71% 29%	
88 Number of Online Applications Approved	40% 179,782			45% Unavailable	Unavailable	
11 11	30,789	253,723	320,105	Unavailable	Unavailable	
89 Number of Online Applications Denied90 Estimated Count of Eligible-But-Not-Enrolled Population (EBNE)	•	37,830 58,600	117,563 64,965	64,860		
90 Estimated Count of Engine-But-Not-Enrolled Population (EBNE)	54,255	58,699	04,903	04,800	64,783	
91 Home Internet	59%	59%	55%	48%	41%	
92 Paper	5%	5%	5%	9%	10%	
93 Agency Internet	35%	35%	26%	24%	24%	
94 Agency Electronic	1%	1%	14%	20%	26%	
95 Telephone	0%	Unavailable	Unavailable	Unavailable	Unavailable	
Goal 6 - Administration						
96 Percent of Administration Budgeted Dollars Used	64.00%	73.00%	65.79%			
97 Per Capita OHCA Administrative Cost	\$122.24	\$138.96	\$119.92			
98 Total Claims Paid	51,039,537	51,226,118	49,829,140	36,636,568	32,298,927	
99 Payment Accuracy Measurement Rate (PAM)	95.38%	97.64%	95.50%			
100 OHCA Payment Error Measurement Rate (PERM)	0.28%	0.28%	0.28%	0.28%	2.32%	
101 Number of Prior Authorizations Generated for Prescriptions	91,786	115,206	155,644			
102 Percentage of Manual Prior Authorizations for Prescriptions	57.56%	77.90%	75.40%			
103 Payment Integrity Recoveries	\$4,524,690	\$4,731,822	\$3,404,767	\$6,552,765	\$9,077,565	
104 Number of Provider Audits	611	285	133			
105 Number of Providers Referred to Medicaid Fraud Control Unit	0	0	1			
106 Third Party Liability Recoveries	\$39,050,461	\$37,965,691	\$53,212,491	\$40,258,563	\$43,241,434	
107 Number of SoonerCare Members with Third Party Insurance	162,886	160,271	163,006			
108 Percent of SoonerCare Members with Third Party Insurance	15.95%	20.30%	20.60%			
Goal 7 - Collaboration						
Percent of Applications Submitted as Agency Internet and Agency						
Electronic Media Type	37%	41%	40%	NA	NA	
State and Federal Revenue Generated by Collaborations to Provide						
Services	\$1,429,947,269	\$1,292,233,657	\$1,230,314,375	\$848,660,601	\$963,746,651	
State and Federal Revenue Generated by Collaborations to Provide	Φ1.40.021.7.7	φ1 0 < π 00 0 10	Φ1 2 < 057 000	404 120 102	φ102 (21 1 1 1	
Medical Education	\$140,931,567	\$136,788,040	\$126,057,898	\$94,138,193	\$103,621,161	
112 Number of Tribes Represented at Tribal Consultations	17	17	14	NA	NA	
Number of Tribal Partners Represented at Tribal Consultations (I/T/U 113 and I H S.)	4	4	4	D.T.A.	T A	
and I.H.S.)	4	4	4	NA	NA	

Revolving F			
		FY'13-15 Avg. Expenditures or	
Fund 200 Administrative Disbursing Fund	FY'13-15 Avg. Revenues	Transfers	June '15 Balance
This fund is utilized for tracking revenues (federal & state) and expenditures for OHCA's administrative cost (except administrative cost of Fund 245-HEEIA). Normally, there are no transfers from this account, only transfers in. However, in the case of a federal disallowance, we have transferred from Fund 200 to Fund 240 (Federal Deferral Account). This is a revolving fund; balances are carried forward into the next fiscal year.	\$149,053,882	\$146,594,995	\$17,722,478
Fund 205 SHOPP Fund			
This fund maintains the revenues and expenditures for the Supplemental Hospital Offset Payment Program. Transfers from this account are stipulated in House Bill 1381 with payments of \$7,500,000 directed to Fund 340 on a quarterly basis. Also, included is a \$200,000 yearly administrative expense.	\$292,220,134	\$403,136,390	\$4,007,647
Fund 230 Quality of Care (QOC) Revolving Fund This fund is utilized for posting of Assessment fees, penalties and interest. Expenditures for this fund were directed in HB 2019 to be for enhancements to specific Medicaid program rates of pay which included increases in the rate of pay for ICR/MR facilities, to the nursing facilities, to the nursing home rate of pay for eyeglasses and denture services, personal needs allowance increases, etc. These Medicaid program expenditures are processed through the Medicaid Management Information System which is budgeted and posted in mass to Fund 340. OHCA transfers money from Fund 230 to Fund 340 to replenish the fund for these enhanced costs.	\$73,021,975	\$80,586,945	\$450,263
Fund 240 Federal Deferral Account Amounts are transferred in from different funds in anticipation of repayment of Federal Disallowances. Payments are not made from this account; amounts are transferred and paid from the account in which the disallowance is found.	\$2,405,660	\$0	\$17,625,963
Fund 245 OEPIC Health Employee and Economy Improvement Act Revenue for this account includes tobacco tax collections, federal draws, interest income, and appropriations for prior year carryover. Expenditures passing through the fund are for managed program costs for employer sponsored insurance, managed care costs covered under the All Kids Act, individual plan service costs and administrative costs. Payments are processed through the Medicaid Management Information System which is budgeted and posted in mass to Fund 340.	\$74,740,619	\$65,696,000	\$27,746,236
Fund 250 Belle Maxine Hilliard Breast and Cervical Cancer			
Treatment Revolving Fund This fund receives tobacco tax funds which may be budgeted and expended for the purpose specified and associated with the Oklahoma Breast and Cervical Act. This act established a new member group. The health services for this group are paid through the Medicaid Management Information System which is budgeted and in mass posted to Fund 340.	\$858,047	\$3,979,338	\$0
Fund 255 OHCA Medicaid Program Fund This fund receives tobacco tax funds and those funds are transferred to Fund 340. This fund provided hospital rate increases, increase in number of physicians visits allowed, increase in emergency physician rates, enhanced drug benefits, dental services, etc. The health services for this fund are paid through the Medicaid Management Information System which is budgeted and in mass posted to Fund 340.	\$51,421,933	\$79,514,812	\$0
Fund 260 Income Tax Check-Off Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0
		Į	