# Wild Turkey Population Dynamics and Brood Survival

# **Coauthors and Partners**

C. Chitwood, N. De Filippo, C. Griffin, C. Bedoian, M. Barrett, K. Andersson, R. DeYoung, E. Tanner, S. Fuhlendorf, C. Davis, and D. Elmore











#### **Project Overview**



The lack of information on demographics and population genetics of turkeys in Oklahoma limits the ability to effectively manage turkey populations.

# Objectives

- Quantify vital rates (hen survival, nest success, poult survival)
- Identify cause-specific mortality/failure
- Assess landscape variables that might explain survival/mortality
- Quantify mammalian nest predator density/activity
- Assess genetic variation and gene flow (potential metapopulation dynamics)

#### Southeastern Study Site



private land

#### **Southwestern Study Site**

- Southwestern Tablelands and Central Great Plains ecoregions
- Cattle grazing and ranching, agriculture
- Working on private lands



TEXAS

# Adult Capture via Rocket Nets (in SE)





# Adult Capture via Walk-in Traps (in SW)





## **Nest Monitoring**









# **Poult Capture**



# Hen Data: SE Study Site

	2022	<u>2023</u>
# Captured	28	33
(Adults)	(18)	(13)
(Juveniles)	(10)	(20)
# Entering Nesting Season	25	39 (8 from '22 and 31 from '23)
# Known Alive Today		11

# Hen Data: SE Study Site

# Mortalities1517Total Predation1210(Canid)(1)(3)(Felid)(2)(0)(Unknown Predator)(9)(7)Capture Myopathy16		<u>2022</u>	<u>2023</u>
Total Predation1210(Canid)(1)(3)(Felid)(2)(0)(Unknown Predator)(9)(7)Capture Myopathy16	# Mortalities	15	17
(Canid)       (1)       (3)         (Felid)       (2)       (0)         (Unknown       (9)       (7)         Predator)       1       6	Total Predation	12	10
(Felid)(2)(0)(Unknown(9)(7)Predator)16	(Canid)	(1)	(3)
(Unknown(9)(7)Predator)16	(Felid)	(2)	(0)
Predator)Capture Myopathy16	(Unknown	(9)	(7)
Capture Myopathy 1 6	Predator)		
	Capture Myopathy	1	6
Unknown 0 1	Unknown	0	1

### **Nest Data: SE Study Site**

	2022	<u>2023</u>
# First Nest	7	18
Incubations		
# Second Nest	2	4
Incubations		
Date of First	4/19	4/16
Incubation Init.		
Date of Last	6/16	6/9
Incubation Init.		
# Successful First	0	2
Nests		
# Successful Second	***All data are preliminary until published.***	1

#### **Nest Loss Data: SE Study Site**

	<u>2022</u>	<u>2023</u>
Depredation of Nest	2	14
Abandonment	1	1
Hen Depredated on Nest	1	3
Unknown	3	4

## **Poult Data: SE Study Site**

	<u>Hatch 1 (2022)</u>	Hatch 2 (2022)
Hatch Date	5/20	6/7
# Poults in Brood	6	3
# Tagged in Brood	3	3
Fate Date	6/8	6/9
Fate Cause	Hen depredated, poults lost	Snake, failure to thrive, unk.

## **Poult Data: SE Study Site**

	Hatch 1 (2023)	Hatch 2 (2023)	Hatch 3 (2023)
Hatch Date	5/18	5/19	6/19
# Poults in Brood	1	9	9
# Tagged in Brood	0	4	5
Fate Date	5/19	5/20	6/20
Fate Cause	Unknown	Hen depredated, poults lost	Predation

### Hen Data: SW Study Site

	<u>2023</u>
# Captured	31
(Adults)	(26)
(Juveniles)	(5)
# Entering Nesting Season	29
# Known Alive Today	12

## Hen Data: SW Study Site

	<u>2023</u>
# Mortalities	15
Total Predation	9
(Canid)	(0)
(Felid)	(1)
(Unknown	(8)
Predator)	
Unknown	6

#### **Nest Data: SW Study Site**

	<u>2023</u>
# First Nest	21
Incubations	
# Second Nest	8
Incubations	
Date of First	4/20
Incubation Init.	
Date of Last	7/10
Incubation Init.	
<b># Successful First</b>	2
Nests	
# Successful Second	ned.***

### **Nest Loss Data: SW Study Site**

	<u>2023</u>
Depredation of Nest	3
Flooded	1
Hen Depredated on Nest	2
Unknown	22

## **Poult Data: SW Study Site**

	Hatch 1	Hatch 2
Hatch Date	5/27	6/4
# Poults in Brood	8 (1 dead in nest)	1
# Tagged in Brood	6	1
Fates	5 dead	Dead (6/6)
Fate Cause	Unknown	Hen killed by predator

#### SW Study Site

• Hen Survival: 48%

#### SE Study Site

• Hen Survival: 28-46%

#### SW Study Site

- Hen Survival: 48%
- Predation: 60% of hen mort

#### SE Study Site

- Hen Survival: 28-46%
- Predation: 58-80% of hen
  mort

#### SW Study Site

- Hen Survival: 48%
- Predation: 60% of hen mort
- % Initializing Incubation: 74%

#### SE Study Site

- Hen Survival: 28-46%
- Predation: 58-80% of hen
  mort
- % Initializing Incubation: 28-46%

#### SW Study Site

- Hen Survival: 48%
- Predation: 60% of hen mort
- % Initializing Incubation: 74%
- Nest Success: 8.7%

#### SE Study Site

- Hen Survival: 28-46%
- Predation: 58-80% of hen
  mort
- % Initializing Incubation: 28-46%
- Nest Success: 13-22%

#### SW Study Site

- Hen Survival: 48%
- Predation: 60% of hen mort
- % Initializing Incubation: 74%
- Nest Success: 8.7%
- Pred.-related Nest Loss: 13%

#### SE Study Site

- Hen Survival: 28-46%
- Predation: 58-80% of hen
  mort
- % Initializing Incubation: 28-46%
- Nest Success: 13-22%
- Pred.-related Nest Loss: 55-77%

#### SW Study Site

- Hen Survival: 48%
- Predation: 60% of hen mort
- % Initializing Incubation: 74%
- Nest Success: 8.7%
- Pred.-related Nest Loss: 13%

#### SE Study Site

- Hen Survival: 28-46%
- Predation: 58-80% of hen
  mort
- % Initializing Incubation: 28-46%
- Nest Success: 13-22%
- Pred.-related Nest Loss: 55-77%
- Poult Survival: 0%

• Poult Survival: 14% All data are preliminary until published.\*\*\*

#### Genetics: 2022 Spring Turkey Season

\*Approximately 100 samples were collected through hunter harvest!\*

Samples collected by: -ODWC, OSU -Cherokee, Choctaw, Muskogee [Cr Nations -NWTF

Total

- 68 extractions
- Representing 41 counties



#### Genetics: 2023 Spring Turkey Season

Samples collected by:

-ODWC, OSU -Cherokee, Choctaw, Muskogee (Creek) Nations

#### Total

- 166 and counting
- Representing 53 counties and counting

Spring 2023 Wild Turkey Genetic Sample Collection



\* Additional 52 samples from OSU graduate student study sites (not shown)

# **Genetics: Total Samples**

- Approximately 250 huntercollected samples
- 52 blood samples (OSU graduate students)
  - ~300 Oklahoma turkey samples.

Representing 64 Counties!

Additional outgroups from Texas (10) and New Mexico (98) were also collected!

2022-2023 Overall Estimated Collection of Hunter Harvest Samples



# **Next Generation Sequencing**

Low Coverage Whole Genome Sequencing Data

- 220 samples successfully sequenced
- Representing 52 Oklahoma counties, 1 Texas county, and 8 New Mexico counties Samples Represented by Genomic Sequencing Data

Raw data have been delivere

Next steps involve data clea processing, and final analy



# **Genetic Analysis Objectives**

- Examine phylogenetic relationships between populations/subspecies
- Assess genetic diversity and structure metrics between populations
- Examine levels of hybridization and introgression between Rio Grande and Eastern subspecies
- Assess potential gene flow from Merriam's subspecies from New Mexico
- Assess statewide connectivity (geographic relationships, environmental influences, barriers to gene flow)

# **Additional Efforts**

- Camera trapping for mammalian predatory density and behavior
- Predator swabs from mortality sites
- Serum chemistrics for putritional/body condition
- Disease testing

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **Expected Implications**

- Provide ODWC with data to better manage wild turkey populations
- Provide recommendations to private landowners, land managers, and hunters regarding wild turkey management
- Aid in understanding region-wide declines in wild turkey populations

# Acknowledgments

- Funding: ODWC, NWTF
- Partners: ODWC, NWTF, TFT, Muscogee (Creek) Nation, Cherokee Nation, Choctaw Nation

# Questio ns?

© Joseph Richards

# OC OC